

Elements of Measures

JaiMan NG

Abstract

Self-study notes on Axler's *Measure, Integration & Real Analysis*.^[i] I share a succinct digest complemented by a bit of my own (naïve) comprehension (in some details for the *measure* part), with the hope of providing a beginner's perspective to fellow learners. Please refer to the original text for much greater interpretations.

Contents

1	Measures	1
1.1	Outer measure on \mathbb{R}	1
1.1.1	Definition & good properties of outer measure	1
1.1.2	Outer measure of compact interval	1
1.1.3	Nonadditivity of outer measure	2
1.2	Measurable spaces & maps	2
1.2.1	Motivation & definition of σ -algebra	2
1.2.2	Borel's subsets of \mathbb{R}	2
1.2.3	Inverse images of measurable maps are measurable	3
1.3	Measures & their properties	4
1.4	Lebesgue's measure	5
1.5	Convergence of measurable maps	6
1.5.1	Pointwise convergence is almost uniform convergence	6
1.5.2	Approximation by simple maps	7
1.5.3	Borel's measurability is almost continuity	7
1.5.4	Lebesgue's measurability is almost Borel's measurability	8
2	Integration	9
2.1	Integration with respect to a measure	9
2.1.1	Integration of nonnegative maps	9
2.1.2	Monotone convergence theorem about limits & integrals	9
2.1.3	Integration of real-valued maps	11
2.2	Limits of integrals & integrals of limits	11
2.2.1	Bounded convergence theorem	11
2.2.2	0-measure sets in integration theorems	12
2.2.3	Dominated convergence theorem	12
2.2.4	Riemann's & Lebesgue's integrals	13
2.2.5	Approximation by nice maps	13
3	Differentiation	15
3.1	Hardy-Littlewood's maximal map	15
3.2	Derivatives of integrals	15

^[i]Free copy online! How generous. Thank you, professor AXLER.

4	Product Measures	16
4.1	Product of measure spaces	16
4.1.1	Product σ -algebras	16
4.1.2	Monotone class theorem	16
4.1.3	Products of measures	17
4.2	Iterated integrals	18
4.3	Lebesgue's integrals on \mathbb{R}^n	19
A	Riemann's integration	20
A.1	Riemann integral	20
A.2	Riemann's integral is not good enough	21
B	Complete ordered fields	21
C	Supremum & infimum	23
D	Open & closed subsets of \mathbb{R}^n	24
E	Sequences & continuity	25

1 Measures

1.1 Outer measure on \mathbb{R}

1.1.1 Definition & good properties of outer measure

1.1 The *outer measure* ^{[ii][iii]}

$$\mu_A := \inf \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \ell_{I_k} \mid \{I_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{ is an open cover of } A \right\}$$

of $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, with

$$\ell_I := \begin{cases} b - a & \text{if } \exists a \text{ \& } b \in \mathbb{R} : a < b \wedge I = (a, b) \\ 0 & \text{if } I = \emptyset \\ \infty & \text{if } \exists a \in [-\infty, \infty) : I = \pm(a, \infty) \end{cases}$$

the *length* of an interval $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$

Properties (outer measure's) 1. $\mu_{\bigcup_{\text{countable } C \subseteq \mathbb{R}} C} = 0$

2. $\mu_{A \subseteq B \subseteq \mathbb{R}} \leq \mu_B$

3. $\mu_{t+A} = \mu_A$ **translation** ($t + A$) of $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ by $t \in \mathbb{R}$

4. $\mu_{\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_{A_k} \quad \forall \{A_k \subseteq \mathbb{R}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$

Proof. 1. $\forall \epsilon > 0$, an open cover $\{I_k = c_k + (-\epsilon, \epsilon)/2^k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ of $C = \{c_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$
 $\Rightarrow \mu_C \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\ell_{I_k} = \epsilon/2^{k-1}) = 2\epsilon \xrightarrow{\text{\epsilon's arbitrariness}} 0$.

2. B's every cover covers A.

3. ℓ_I is translational invariant (by any distance t) \forall interval I .

4. $\forall \epsilon > 0$, pick an open cover $\{I_{j,k}\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \quad \forall A_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} : \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \ell_{I_{j,k}} - \mu_{A_k} \in [0, \epsilon/2^k]$. Then

$$\mu_{\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k} \leq \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \left\{ I_i = \bigcup_{(k,j) \in (\mathbb{Z}_{>0})^2, k+j=i} \{I_{j,k}\} \right\} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \ell_{I_{j,k}} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_{A_k} + \frac{\epsilon}{0} \quad \square$$

Remark. • $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is countable $\Rightarrow \mu_{\mathbb{Q}} = 0$

• $\mu_{\emptyset} \xrightarrow{\text{properties 1-2}} 0$.
 $\forall S \subseteq \mathbb{R}, \mu_S \geq 0 \wedge \emptyset \subseteq S$

1.1.2 Outer measure of compact interval

1.1 $\mu_{[a,b]} = b - a \quad \forall a \text{ \& } b \in \mathbb{R} : a < b$

Proof. 1. $\forall \epsilon > 0$, $\mu_{[a,b] \subseteq (a-\epsilon, b+\epsilon) \cup \emptyset \cup \emptyset \cup \dots = (a-\epsilon, b+\epsilon)} \leq \mu_{(a-\epsilon, b+\epsilon)} = b - a + \frac{2\epsilon}{0}$

2. (a) By HEINE-BOREL's theorem, every open cover $\{I_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ of a closed bounded $[a, b] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ has a finite subcover $\{I_k\}_{k=1}^n$ (b) Prove $\sum_{k=1}^n \ell_{I_k} \geq b - a$ by induction on $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Then $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \ell_{I_k} \geq \sum_{k=1}^n \ell_{I_k} \geq b - a \Rightarrow \mu_{[a,b]} \geq b - a$ \square

Remark. $\mu_{(a,b) \subseteq \mathbb{R}} = \mu_{[a,b]} = \mu_{[a,b]} = \mu_{[a,b]}$.

1.2 Every nontrivial (i.e. $\exists a \text{ \& } b \in I : a < b$) interval $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is uncountable ^[iv]

[ii] $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t_k := \sum_{k=1}^{n \rightarrow \infty} t_k \quad \forall \text{ sequence } \{t_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \equiv t_{k=1,2,\dots}$

[iii] $\mathbb{R} \equiv [-\infty, \infty] = \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$, with $\mathbb{R} \equiv (-\infty, \infty)$, and \cup a disjoint union

[iv] $\mu_{I \supseteq [a,b]} \geq \mu_{[a,b]} = b - a > 0$

1.1.3 Nonadditivity of outer measure

1.3 $\exists A \text{ \& } B \subseteq \mathbb{R} : \dot{\mu}_{A \cup B} \neq \dot{\mu}_A + \dot{\mu}_B$ ■

Proof. Partition $[-1, 1]$ into equivalence classes $[a] := \{b \in [-1, 1] \mid a - b \in \mathbb{Q}\}$, and pick $V \subseteq [-1, 1] : |V \cap [a]| = 1 \forall a \in [-1, 1]$.^[v] Then $\{q_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \equiv [-2, 2] \cap \mathbb{Q} \Rightarrow [-1, 1] \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty (q_k + V) \subseteq [-3, 3]$
 $\Rightarrow \underbrace{\dot{\mu}_{[-1,1]}}_{=2 > 0} \leq \dot{\mu}_{\bigcup_{k=1}^\infty (q_k + V)} \xrightarrow[\text{if } \dot{\mu}_{A \cup B} = \dot{\mu}_A + \dot{\mu}_B \forall A, B \subseteq \mathbb{R}]{\text{mathematical induction}} \sum_{k=1}^\infty \underbrace{\dot{\mu}_{q_k + V}}_{\equiv \dot{\mu}_V} = \underbrace{\left| \{q_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \right|}_{\infty} \cdot \dot{\mu}_V \leq \underbrace{\dot{\mu}_{[-3,3]}}_{=6 < \infty}$
 \Rightarrow contradiction: $0 < \infty \cdot (\dot{\mu}_V = 0) = 0$ □

1.2 Measurable spaces & maps

1.2.1 Motivation & definition of σ -algebra

1.4 $\underbrace{2^{\mathbb{R}} := \{S\}_{S \subseteq \mathbb{R}}}_{\mathbb{R}'\text{'s power set}} \xrightarrow{\dot{\mu}} \overline{\mathbb{R}}_{\geq 0} :$

1. $\mu_I = \ell_I \forall \text{ open interval } I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$
2. $\mu_{\bigcup_{k=1}^\infty A_k} = \sum_{k=1}^\infty \mu_{A_k} \forall \{A_k \subseteq \mathbb{R}\}_{k=1}^\infty$
3. $\mu_{t+A} = \mu_A \forall A \subseteq \mathbb{R} \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$ ■

Proof. μ has all $\dot{\mu}$'s properties used to prove theorem 1.3 □

1.2 $\mathcal{S} \subseteq 2^X$ is a σ -algebra on a set X if

1. $X \setminus E \in \mathcal{S} \forall E \in \mathcal{S}$
2. $\emptyset \in \mathcal{S} (\iff X = X \setminus \emptyset \in \mathcal{S})$
3. $\forall \{E_k \in \mathcal{S}\}_{k=1}^\infty, \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty E_k \in \mathcal{S} (\xleftrightarrow{\text{de Morgan's laws}} \bigcap_{k=1}^\infty E_k = X \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty (X \setminus E_k) \in \mathcal{S})$.

(X, \mathcal{S}) is then called a **measurable space**, and $E \in \mathcal{S}$ **measurable sets** ●

E.g. $\{\emptyset, X\}$ and 2^X are σ -algebras on X .

1.5 $\bigcap_{\mathcal{S} \in \{\mathcal{S}' \subseteq 2^X \mid \mathcal{S}' \text{ is a } \sigma\text{-algebra on } X \text{ containing } \mathcal{A}\}} \mathcal{S}$ is the smallest σ -algebra on X containing $\mathcal{A} \subseteq 2^X$ ■

Examples (of smallest σ -algebras)

1. $\{E \in X \mid E \text{ countable } \vee X \setminus E \text{ countable}\}$ on X containing $\{\{x\}\}_{x \in X}$.
2. $\{\emptyset, \mathbb{R}, (0, 1), \mathbb{R}_{>0}, \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0} \cup \mathbb{R}_{\geq 1}, \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}, \mathbb{R}_{\geq 1}, \mathbb{R}_{<1}\}$ on \mathbb{R} containing $\{(0, 1), \mathbb{R}_{>0}\}$.

1.2.2 BOREL'S subsets of \mathbb{R}

1.3 The set \mathcal{B} of **Borel's** $B \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is the smallest σ -algebra on \mathbb{R} containing all open $G \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ ●

Examples (of $B \in \mathcal{B}$) • Every closed set, every countable $\{r_k \in \mathbb{R}\}_{k=1}^\infty$, and every half-open interval

- $\left\{ r \in \mathbb{R} \mid \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{R} \text{ is continuous at } r \right\}$ as an open-set intersection is 'BOREL'.

^[v] $|V|$ denotes the order of a set V

1.2.3 Inverse images of measurable maps are measurable

1.4 $X \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{R}$ is *measurable* on a measurable space (X, \mathcal{S}) if $f_{\forall B \in \mathcal{B}}^{-1} \in \mathcal{S}$ ^[vi] ●

E.g. • The only measurable $X \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{R}$ on the measurable space $(X, \{\emptyset, X\})$ are constant maps.

• Every $X \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{R}$ is measurable on the measurable space $(X, 2^X)$.

• $\mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{R}$ is measurable on the measurable space $(\mathbb{R}, \{\emptyset, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}_{<0}, \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\})$ iff f is constant respectively on $\mathbb{R}_{<0}$ and on $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$.

• A **characteristic map** $X \xrightarrow{\chi_E} \mathbb{R}$ of $E \subseteq X$ with $\chi_{E; \forall x \in X} := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in E \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin E \end{cases}$ is measurable on a measurable space (X, \mathcal{S}) iff $E \in \mathcal{S} \iff \chi_{E; B \subseteq \mathbb{R}}^{-1} = \begin{cases} E & \text{if } 0 \notin B \ni 1 \\ X \setminus E & \text{if } 0 \in B \not\ni 1 \\ X & \text{if } 0 \in B \ni 1 \\ \emptyset & \text{if } 0 \notin B \not\ni 1. \end{cases}$ ^[vii]

1.6 $X \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{R}$ is measurable on a measurable space $(X, \mathcal{S}) \iff f_{(\forall a \in \mathbb{R}, \infty)}^{-1} \in \mathcal{S}$ ■

Proof. $\{A \subseteq \mathbb{R} \mid f_A^{-1} \in \mathcal{S}\}$ is a σ -algebra containing \mathcal{B} □

Remark. The collection $\{\mathbb{R}_{>a}\}_{a \in \mathbb{R}}$ in the condition can be replaced by any $\mathcal{A} \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{R}}$: $\mathcal{B} \subseteq$ the smallest σ -algebra containing \mathcal{A} .
E.g. $\mathcal{A} = \{(p, q]\}_{p, q \in \mathbb{Q}} \vee \{(q, z]\}_{q \in \mathbb{Q}, z \in \mathbb{Z}} \vee \{(q, q+1)\}_{q \in \mathbb{Q}} \vee \{\mathbb{R}_{\geq q}\}_{q \in \mathbb{Q}}$ etc.

1.7 $\{E' \in \mathcal{S}\}_{E' \subseteq X'} = \{E \cap X'\}_{E \in \mathcal{S}}$ is a σ -algebra on $X' \in \mathcal{S} \forall \sigma$ -algebra $\mathcal{S} \subseteq 2^X$ ■

1.5 $\forall X \subseteq \mathbb{R}, X \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{R}$ is **Borel-measurable** if $f_{\forall B \in \mathcal{B}}^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}$ ●

1.8 Every continuous $B \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{R}$ is \mathcal{B} -measurable $\forall B \in \mathcal{B}$ ■

Proof. $f_{(\forall a \in \mathbb{R}, \infty)}^{-1} = \left(\bigcup_{b \in f_{\mathbb{R}_{>a}}^{-1}} (b - \delta_b, b + \delta_b) \right) \cap B \in \mathcal{B}$
 $\iff f_{\forall b \in B} > a \exists \delta_b > 0 : f_{\forall x \in (b - \delta_b, b + \delta_b) \cap B} > a$ □

1.9 Every increasing $B \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{R}$ is \mathcal{B} -measurable $\forall B \in \mathcal{B}$ ■

Proof. $f_{(\forall a \in \mathbb{R}, \infty)}^{-1} \stackrel{b = \inf_{\mathbb{R}_{>a}} f^{-1}}{=} \mathbb{R}_{>b} \cap B \in \mathcal{B}$ □

1.10 $X \xrightarrow{g \circ f} \mathbb{R}$ is measurable on a measurable space $(X, \mathcal{S}) \forall \mathcal{S}$ -measurable $X \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{R}$

$\forall \mathcal{B}$ -measurable $Y \xrightarrow{g} \mathbb{R} : Y \supseteq f_X$ ■

E.g. $X \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{R}$ is measurable on a measurable space $(X, \mathcal{S}) \implies$ so are $-f, f/2, |f|, f^2$ etc.

^[vi] $\forall X \xrightarrow{f} Y$, the **inverse image** $f_A^{-1} := \{x \in X \mid f_x \in A\} = X \setminus f_{\forall A}^{-1}$ of $A \subseteq Y$. Besides, $f_{O_{A \in \mathcal{A}}}^{-1} \stackrel{O = \cup, \cap}{=} O_{A \in \mathcal{A}} f_A^{-1} \forall \mathcal{A} \subseteq 2^Y$,

$(g \circ f)_{\forall A \subseteq Z}^{-1} = f_{g^{-1}(A)}^{-1} \forall Y \xrightarrow{g} Z$

^[vii] \forall measurable space $(X, \mathcal{S}) \forall x \in X$, DIRAC's measure (cf. definition 1.7) $\mathcal{S} \xrightarrow{\delta_x: E \rightarrow \chi_{E \ni x}} \overline{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}}$

1.11 $X \xrightarrow{f \& g} \mathbb{R}$ are measurable on a measurable space $(X, \mathcal{S}) \Rightarrow$ so are $f \pm g$, fg and f/g ($g_{\forall x \in X} \neq 0$ in the quotient) ■

Proof. $fg = (f+g)^2 - f^2 - g^2 / 2$, $(f+g)_{(\forall a \in \mathbb{R}, \infty)}^{-1} = \bigcup_{q \in \mathbb{Q}} (f_{\mathbb{R}_{>q}}^{-1} \cap g_{\mathbb{R}_{>a-q}}^{-1}) \in \mathcal{S}$ □

1.12 $\exists f_{k \rightarrow \infty; \forall x \in X}$ for a sequence $\left\{ X \xrightarrow{f_k} \mathbb{R} \right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ of measurable maps on a measurable space $(X, \mathcal{S}) \Rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ -measurable $X \xrightarrow{f: X \rightarrow f_{k \rightarrow \infty; X}} \mathbb{R}$. ■

Proof. $f_{(\forall a \in \mathbb{R}, \infty)}^{-1} = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{k=m}^{\infty} f_{k; \mathbb{R}_{>a+1/j}}^{-1} \in \mathcal{S}$ □

1.6 $X \xrightarrow{f} \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is measurable on a measurable space (X, \mathcal{S}) if $f_{\forall B \in \overline{\mathcal{B}}}^{-1} \in \mathcal{S}$,^[viii] where $B \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is Borel's set if $B \cap \mathbb{R} \in \mathcal{B}$ (and $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$ is the collection of all such B) ●

1.13 A sequence $\left\{ X \xrightarrow{f_k} \overline{\mathbb{R}} \right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ of measurable maps on a measurable space $(X, \mathcal{S}) \Rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ -measurable $X \xrightarrow{g \& h} \overline{\mathbb{R}} : g_{\forall x \in X} := \inf_{\{f_{k;x}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}}, h_{\forall x \in X} := \sup_{\{f_{k;x}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}}$ ■

Proof. $g_{\forall x \in X} = -\sup_{\{-f_{k;x}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}}, h_{(\forall a \in \mathbb{R}, \infty)}^{-1} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} f_{k; \mathbb{R}_{>a}}^{-1} \in \mathcal{S}$ □

1.3 Measures & their properties

1.7 $\mathcal{S} \xrightarrow{\mu} \overline{\mathbb{R}}_{\geq 0}$ is a measure on a measurable space (X, \mathcal{S}) if $\mu_{\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_{E_k}$ ●
 $\forall \{E_k \in \mathcal{S}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$. (X, \mathcal{S}, μ) is then called a *measure space*

Remark. $\mu_{E=E \uplus \emptyset \uplus \emptyset \uplus \dots} = \mu_E + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \mu_{\emptyset} \Rightarrow \mu_{\emptyset} = 0$.

1.14 \forall measure space $(X, \mathcal{S}, \mu) \forall \{E_k \in \mathcal{S}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$

$$1. E_1 \subseteq E_2 \Rightarrow \mu_{E_1} \leq \mu_{E_2} \wedge \mu_{E_2 \setminus E_1} \stackrel{\mu_{E_1} < \infty}{=} \mu_{E_2} - \mu_{E_1}$$

$$2. \mu_{\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_{E_k}$$

$$3. E_{\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \subseteq E_{k+1} \Rightarrow \mu_{\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k} = \mu_{E_{k \rightarrow \infty}}$$

$$4. E_{\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \supseteq E_{k+1} \wedge \mu_{E_1} < \infty \Rightarrow \mu_{\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k} = \mu_{E_{k \rightarrow \infty}}$$

$$5. \mu_{E=E_1 \cap E_2} < \infty \Rightarrow \mu_{E_1 \cup E_2} = \mu_{E_1} + \mu_{E_2} - \mu_E$$

Proof. 1. (a) $\mu_{E_2=E_1 \uplus (E_2 \setminus E_1)} = \mu_{E_1} + \mu_{E_2 \setminus E_1} \geq \mu_{E_1}$ ■

$$(b) \mu_{E_1} < \infty \Rightarrow \mu_{E_2} - \mu_{E_1} \geq \mu_{E_1} - \mu_{E_1} = 0$$

$$2. \mu_{\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k = \biguplus_{k=1}^{\infty} (E_k \setminus D_k)} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\mu_{E_k \setminus D_k} \leq \mu_{E_k}) \text{ with } D_{\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} = \bigcup_{j=1}^{k-1} E_j \stackrel{k=1}{=} \emptyset$$

3. Say $\mu_{E_{\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}}} < \infty$, as otherwise both sides of the equation are ∞ . Let $E_0 = \emptyset$,

$$\mu_{\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k = \biguplus_{j=1}^{\infty} (E_j \setminus E_{j-1})} = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \equiv \sum_{j=1}^{k \rightarrow \infty} \right) (\mu_{E_j \setminus E_{j-1}} = \mu_{E_j} - \mu_{E_{j-1}}) = \mu_{E_{k \rightarrow \infty}}$$

$$4. \mu_{E_1} - \mu_{\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k} = \mu_{E_1 \setminus \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (E_1 \setminus E_k)} \stackrel{\text{property 3}}{=} \mu_{E_1 \setminus E_{k \rightarrow \infty}} = \mu_{E_1} - \mu_{E_{k \rightarrow \infty}}$$

$$5. \mu_{E_1 \cup E_2 = [\biguplus_{k=1}^2 (E_k \setminus E)] \uplus E} = \left[\sum_{k=1}^2 (\mu_{E_k \setminus E} = \mu_{E_k} - \mu_E) \right] + \mu_E = \mu_{E_1} + \mu_{E_2} - \mu_E$$
 □

^[viii] $X \xrightarrow{f} \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is measurable on a measurable space $(X, \mathcal{S}) \Leftrightarrow f_{(\forall a \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}, \infty)}^{-1} \in \mathcal{S}$

1.4 LEBESGUE'S measure

$$1.15 \quad \mu_{A \cup B} = \mu_{\forall A \subseteq \mathbb{R}} + \mu_{\forall B \in \mathcal{B}}$$

Proof. Need to show $\mu_{A \cup B} \geq \mu_A + \mu_B$. ■

$$1. \quad \mu_{A \cup B} = \mu_{\forall A \subseteq \mathbb{R}} + \mu_{\forall \text{open } B \subseteq \mathbb{R}} \quad \text{Say } \mu_B < \infty.$$

(a) If B is an open interval $(a, b) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, then \forall open cover

$$\underbrace{\left\{ a + \frac{(-\epsilon, \epsilon)}{4}, b + \frac{(-\epsilon, \epsilon)}{4} \right\}}_{I_0} \cup \underbrace{\{I_k \cap \mathbb{R}_{<a}\}}_{J_k} \cup \underbrace{\{I_k \cap (a, b)\}}_{K_k} \cup \underbrace{\{I_k \cap \mathbb{R}_{>b}\}}_{L_k}$$

$$\text{of } A \cup B, \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \ell_{I_k} \frac{\{K_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \supseteq B}{I_0 \cup \{J_k, L_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \supseteq A} \stackrel{\epsilon \rightarrow 0}{\geq \mu_A} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\ell_{J_k} + \ell_{L_k}) + \underbrace{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \ell_{K_k}}_{\geq \mu_B} \Rightarrow \mu_{A \cup B} \geq \mu_A + \mu_B.$$

(b) If $B = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} I_k$ for some open sequence $\{I_k \subseteq \mathbb{R}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$, then

$$\mu_{A \cup B} \geq \underbrace{\mu_{A \cup \bigcup_{k=1}^z I_k}}_{\text{by property (a) and induction on } z} = \mu_A + \sum_{i=1}^z \ell_{I_i} \Rightarrow \mu_{A \cup B} \geq \mu_A + \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \ell_{I_k} \geq \mu_B \right).$$

$$2. \quad \mu_{A \cup B} = \mu_{\forall A \subseteq \mathbb{R}} + \mu_{\forall \text{closed } B \subseteq \mathbb{R}} \quad \forall \text{ open cover } \{I_k \subseteq \mathbb{R}\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{ of } A \cup B,$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \ell_{I_k} \geq \mu_{G = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} I_k = (G \setminus B) \cup B} \stackrel{\text{step 1}}{\geq \mu_{G \setminus B \supseteq A}} + \mu_B \geq \mu_A + \mu_B$$

$G \setminus B = G \cap (\mathbb{R} \setminus B)$ is open

$$\Rightarrow \mu_{A \cup B} \geq \mu_A + \mu_B.$$

3. $\mathcal{L} := \{L \subseteq \mathbb{R} \mid \forall \epsilon > 0 \exists \text{ closed } F \subseteq L : \mu_{L \setminus F} < \epsilon\}$ is a σ -algebra containing \mathbb{R} 's all closed, and thus all open, all BOREL'S (and all o-outer-measure) subsets. Since $\mathcal{L} (\ni \emptyset, \text{ as } \emptyset \text{ is both open and closed})$ **is closed under**

Countable intersection $L_0 = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} L_k \in \mathcal{L} \quad \forall \{L_k \in \mathcal{L}\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \Leftarrow \forall \epsilon > 0$

$$\exists \text{ closed } F_{\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \subseteq L_k : \mu_{L_k \setminus F_k} < \epsilon/2^k \wedge \mu_{L_0 \setminus (\text{closed } \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} F_k) = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (L_0 \setminus F_k)} \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (L_k \setminus F_k) < \epsilon.$$

Complementation $\forall L \in \mathcal{L} \quad \forall \epsilon > 0$

(a) If $\mu_L < \infty$, then \exists closed $F \subseteq L \subseteq$ open $G : \epsilon$

$$> (\epsilon/2 > \mu_G - \mu_L) + (\epsilon/2 > \mu_{L \setminus F} = \mu_L - \mu_F) = \mu_G - \mu_F$$

$$= \mu_{G \setminus F \supseteq G \setminus L = (\mathbb{R} \setminus L \supseteq \mathbb{R} \setminus G) \setminus (\mathbb{R} \setminus G)} \geq \mu_{(\mathbb{R} \setminus L) \setminus (\text{closed } \mathbb{R} \setminus G)}.$$

(b) If $\mu_L = \infty$, $\mu_{L_{\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} = L \cap [-k, k]} \in \mathcal{L} < \infty$

$$\stackrel{\text{step (a)}}{\implies} \mathbb{R} \setminus L_{\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \in \mathcal{L} \Rightarrow \mathbb{R} \setminus L = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} (\mathbb{R} \setminus L_k) \in \mathcal{L}.$$

$$4. \quad \forall \epsilon > 0 \exists \text{ closed } F \subseteq B : \mu_{B \setminus F} < \epsilon \wedge \mu_{A \cup B} \geq \mu_{A \cup F} = \mu_A + (\mu_F = \mu_B - \mu_{B \setminus F} \geq \mu_B)$$

□

1.16 $\exists B \subseteq \mathbb{R} : \mu_B < \infty \wedge B$ is not Borel's set ■

Proof. By theorems 1.3, 1.15 □

1.17 $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ is a measure space ■

$$\text{Proof. } \forall \{B_k \in \mathcal{B}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}, \mu_{\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k} \geq \underbrace{\mu_{\bigcup_{k=1}^z B_k}}_{\text{by theorem 1.15 and induction on } z} = \sum_{k=1}^z \mu_{B_k} \Rightarrow \mu_{\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k} \geq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_{B_k} \quad \square$$

1.8 $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is Lebesgue-measurable

$$\iff \exists B^- \in \mathcal{B} : B^- \subseteq A \wedge \mu_{A \setminus B^-} = 0$$

$$\iff \forall \epsilon > 0 \exists \text{ closed } F \subseteq A : \mu_{A \setminus F} < \epsilon$$

$$\iff \exists \{\text{closed } F_k \subseteq A\}_{k=1}^{\infty} : \mu_{A \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} F_k} = 0$$

$$\iff \exists \{\text{open } G_k \supseteq A\}_{k=1}^\infty : \dot{\mu}_{\bigcap_{k=1}^\infty G_k \setminus A} = 0$$

$$\iff \forall \epsilon > 0 \exists \text{open } G \supseteq A : \dot{\mu}_{G \setminus A} < \epsilon$$

$$\iff \exists B^+ \in \mathfrak{B} : B^+ \supseteq A \wedge \dot{\mu}_{B^+ \setminus A} = 0$$

$$\iff \dot{\mu}_{(-n,n) \cap A} + \dot{\mu}_{(-n,n) \setminus A} = 2n \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$$

Proof. $\dot{\mu}_{A \setminus B^-} = 0 = \dot{\mu}_{B^+ \setminus A} \xrightarrow{B^\pm \in \mathfrak{B} \subseteq \mathcal{L}} A \setminus B^- \& B^+ \setminus A \& A \& \mathbb{R} \setminus A \in \mathcal{L}$
 $(A \setminus B^-) \uplus B^- = A = B^+ \cap [\mathbb{R} \setminus (B^+ \setminus A)]$

$$\xrightarrow{\exists F \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty F_k \subseteq A \subseteq \bigcap_{k=1}^\infty G_k \subseteq G} \dot{\mu}_{A \setminus F} \& \dot{\mu}_{G \setminus A} = (\mathbb{R} \setminus A \supseteq \mathbb{R} \setminus G) \setminus (\mathbb{R} \setminus G) < \epsilon \rightarrow 0^+ \text{ etc.} \quad \square$$

Remark. The σ -algebra \mathcal{L} in theorem 1.15.3 is the collection of \mathbb{R} 's all \mathcal{L} -measurable subsets.

1.18 $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{L}, \dot{\mu})$ is a measure space (dubbed Lebesgue's)

Proof. $\forall \{L_k \in \mathcal{L}\}_{k=1}^\infty \exists \{B_k \in \mathfrak{B} \mid L_k = B_k \uplus (L_k \setminus B_k)\}_{k=1}^\infty : \dot{\mu}_{\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} L_k} = 0$

$$\wedge \dot{\mu}_{\bigcup_{k=1}^\infty L_k} \geq \dot{\mu}_{\bigcup_{k=1}^\infty B_k} \xrightarrow{\text{theorem 1.17}} \sum_{k=1}^\infty (\dot{\mu}_{B_k} \geq \dot{\mu}_{L_k}) \quad \square$$

Remark. $\forall A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ with $\dot{\mu}_A < \infty, A \in \mathcal{L} \iff \forall \epsilon > 0 \exists G = \bigcup_{k=1}^{n < \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} G_k$ with $G_{k=1, \dots, n}$ bounded open intervals: $\dot{\mu}_{A \setminus G} + \dot{\mu}_{G \setminus A} < \epsilon$. Practically, this means that every $B \in \mathfrak{B}$ with $\dot{\mu}_B < \infty$ is almost a finite disjoint union of bounded open intervals.

1.5 Convergence of measurable maps

1.5.1 Pointwise convergence is almost uniform convergence

1.9 $\left\{ X \xrightarrow{f_k} \mathbb{R} \right\}_{k=1}^\infty$ converges to $X \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{R}$

Pointwise (on X) if $f_{k \rightarrow \infty; \forall x \in X} = f_x$

Uniformly if $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} : |f_{\forall k \geq n; \forall x \in X} - f_x| < \epsilon$

E.g. $\left\{ [-1, 1] \xrightarrow{f_k} \mathbb{R} \mid f_{k;x} = \begin{cases} 1 - k|x| & \text{if } |x| \in [0, 1/k] \\ 0 & \text{if } |x| \in (1/k, 1] \end{cases} \right\}_{k=1}^\infty$ converges pointwise

but not uniformly to $[-1, 1] \xrightarrow{f: x \mapsto \delta_{0,x}} \mathbb{R}$.

1.19 $\left\{ X \xrightarrow{f_k} \mathbb{R} \mid f_{\forall j \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \text{ continuous at } x \in X \right\}_{k=1}^\infty$ converges uniformly to $X \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{R}$
 $\Rightarrow f$ continuous at x

Proof. $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0 : |f_{\forall x' \in (x-\delta, x+\delta) \cap X} - f_x| < \epsilon$, because

$$|f_{x'} - f_x| \leq |f_{x'} - f_{j;x'}| + |f_{j;x'} - f_{j;x}| < \epsilon' + |f_{j;x} - f_x| \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \quad \forall \epsilon' \in (0, \epsilon)$$

$$\xrightarrow{|f_{\exists n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}; \forall x'' \in X - f_{x''}}| < (\epsilon - \epsilon')/2} |f_{x'} - f_x| < |f_{x'} - f_{n';x'}| + \epsilon' + |f_{n';x} - f_x| < \epsilon \quad \square$$

Theorem (EGOROV'S) \forall measure $\mathcal{S} \xrightarrow{\mu} \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ on a measurable space $(X, \mathcal{S}) \exists E \subseteq X :$

$$\mu_{X \setminus E} \in [0, \forall \epsilon > 0) \wedge \left\{ \mathcal{S}\text{-measurable } X \xrightarrow{f_k} \mathbb{R} \right\}_{k=1}^\infty \text{ converges to } X \xrightarrow{f: x \mapsto f_{k \rightarrow \infty; x}} \mathbb{R} \text{ uniformly on } E$$

Proof. $f_{k \rightarrow \infty; \forall x \in X} = f_x \xrightarrow{g_k \equiv f_k - f} \bigcup_{m=1}^\infty \left(A_m; \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} := \bigcap_{k=m}^\infty g_{k; (-1/n, 1/n)}^{-1} \right) = X$, where

$A_{m;n} \in \mathcal{S}$ as $X \xrightarrow{g_{\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}}} \mathbb{R}$ is \mathcal{S} -measurable (by theorems 1.12, 1.11), and $\{A_{m;n}\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ is an increasing sequence $\xrightarrow{\text{theorem 1.14.3}} \mu_X = \mu_{A_{m \rightarrow \infty;n}}$; i.e. $\mu_X - \mu_{\exists m_n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} < \epsilon/2^n$. Thus $\mu_X \setminus (E = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{m_n;n}) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (X \setminus A_{m_n;n}) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_X \setminus A_{m_n;n} < \epsilon$, and $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ converges to f uniformly on $E \subseteq A_{m_n;n}$, as $\forall \epsilon' > 0 \exists n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} : |g_{\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}; \forall x \in E}| < 1/n < \epsilon'$ \square

1.5.2 Approximation by simple maps

1.10 A map is *simple* if it takes only finitely many values ●

E.g. A simple $X \xrightarrow{f = \sum_{k=1}^n c_k \chi_{E_k}} \mathbb{R}$ (measurable) on a measurable space (X, \mathcal{S}) , with $c_{k=1, \dots, n}$ the distinct values $\in \mathbb{R}_{\neq 0}$ of f , and $E_{k=1, \dots, n} = f_{\{c_k\}}^{-1} \in \mathcal{S}$.

1.20 \forall measurable $X \xrightarrow{f} \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ on a measurable space (X, \mathcal{S})

$\exists \left\{ \text{simple } \mathcal{S}\text{-measurable } X \xrightarrow{f_k} \mathbb{R} \mid |f_{\forall j \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}; \forall x \in X}| \leq |f_{j+1;x}| \leq |f_x| \right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ converging point-wise (uniformly for bounded f) to f ■

E.g. $\left\{ f_{k; \forall x \in X} = \left(|f_{k;x}| = \begin{cases} m/2^k & \text{if } \exists m \in \mathbb{Z} : |f_x| \in [0, k] \cap [m, m+1)/2^k \\ k & \text{if } |f_x| \in (k, \infty) \end{cases} \right) \text{sign}_{f_x} \right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is a

desired sequence of simple \mathcal{S} -measurable $(f_{\substack{[0,k] \cap [m, m+1)/2^k \\ [0,k] \cap [m, m+1)/2^k}}^{-1} \in \mathcal{S} \text{ etc.} \Leftarrow \mathcal{S}$ -measurable f) maps: $|f_{\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}; \forall x \in X} - f_x| \leq 1/2^k$ if $|f_x| \in [0, k]$.

1.21 \forall continuous $F \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{R}$ on a closed $F \subseteq \mathbb{R} \exists$ continuous $\mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} \mathbb{R} : \bar{f}|_F = f$ ■

E.g. $\exists \{ \text{open interval } I_k \}_{k=1}^{\infty} : \mathbb{R} \setminus F = \biguplus_{k=1}^{\infty} I_k. \bar{f}|_{I_k} := f_a \vee := \text{linear map connecting } f_b \text{ \& } f_c \text{ for } I_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} = \pm(a, \infty) \vee = (b, c).$

1.5.3 BOREL'S MEASURABILITY IS ALMOST CONTINUITY

Theorem (LUSIN'S) \mathcal{B} -measurable $E \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow \forall \epsilon > 0 \exists$

• closed $F \subseteq \mathbb{R} : \dot{\mu}_{E \setminus F} < \epsilon$

• continuous $\mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} \mathbb{R} : \bar{f}|_F = f|_F$ ■

Proof. 1. Prove the theorem for $(E \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{R}) = (\mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} \mathbb{R})$ 1st.

(a) Say $f = \sum_{k=1}^n c_k \chi_{B_k} \xrightarrow{c_0=0} \sum_{k=0}^n c_k \chi_{B_k}$ of distinct $c_{k=1, \dots, n} \in \mathbb{R}_{\neq 0}$ and

disjoint $B_{k=0, \dots, n} \in \mathcal{B}$. $\forall \epsilon > 0$, theorem 1.8 $\Rightarrow \forall k \in \{1, \dots, n\} \exists$ closed $F_k \subseteq B_k \subseteq$ open $G_k : \dot{\mu}_{G_k \setminus B_k} < \epsilon/2n > \dot{\mu}_{B_k \setminus F_k} \wedge \dot{\mu}_{G_k \setminus F_k} = (G_k \setminus B_k) \uplus (B_k \setminus F_k) < \epsilon/n'$

\Rightarrow closed $F \xrightarrow{F_0 = \mathbb{R} \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^n G_k} \biguplus_{k=0}^n F_k : \dot{\mu}_{\mathbb{R} \setminus F \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^n (G_k \setminus F_k)} < \epsilon$

$\wedge f|_F$ continuous (as $f|_{F_{\forall k \in \{0, \dots, n\}} \subseteq B_k} \equiv c_k$ is continuous)

(b) $\forall \mathcal{B}$ -measurable $\mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{R}$

i. Theorem 1.20 $\Rightarrow \exists \left\{ \text{simple } \mathcal{B}\text{-measurable } \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{f_k} \mathbb{R} \right\}_{k=1}^{\infty} : f_{k \rightarrow \infty; \forall x \in X} = f_x. \forall \epsilon > 0,$

step 1.(a) \Rightarrow ' $\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \exists$ closed $C_k \subseteq \mathbb{R} : \dot{\mu}_{\mathbb{R} \setminus C_k} < \epsilon/2^{k+1} \wedge f_k|_{C_k}$ continuous'
 $\Rightarrow f_{\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}}|_{C = \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} C_j}$ continuous: $\dot{\mu}_{(\mathbb{R} \setminus C) = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (\mathbb{R} \setminus C_k)} < \epsilon/2$.

ii. $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}, f_{k \rightarrow \infty; \forall x \in (n, n+1)} = f_x \xrightarrow{\text{EGOROV's theorem}} \exists B_n \in \mathfrak{B} : \dot{\mu}_{(n, n+1) \setminus (B_n \subseteq (n, n+1))} < \epsilon/2^{n+3} \wedge \{f_k|_{B_n}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ converges to $f|_{B_n}$ uniformly on $C \cap B_n$.

iii. $f_{\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}}|_{(C \cap B_{\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}}) \subseteq C \subseteq C_k}$ continuous $\xrightarrow{\text{theorem 1.19}} (f = f_{k \rightarrow \infty})|_{C \cap B_n}$ continuous
 $\Rightarrow f|_{D = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} (C \cap B_n)}$ continuous, where theorem 1.8
 $\Rightarrow \dot{\mu}_D \exists$ closed $F \subseteq D \in \mathfrak{L} < \epsilon - \underbrace{\dot{\mu}_{\mathbb{R} \setminus D = (\mathbb{R} \setminus C) \cup [\mathbb{R} \setminus (\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} B_n) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \cup (\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} (n, n+1) \setminus B_n)]}}_{>0} < \epsilon$
 $\wedge \dot{\mu}_{\mathbb{R} \setminus F = (\mathbb{R} \setminus D) \cup (D \setminus F)} = \dot{\mu}_{\mathbb{R} \setminus D} + \dot{\mu}_{D \setminus F} < \epsilon \wedge f|_{F \subseteq D}$ continuous.

2. $\forall \epsilon > 0$, consider an extension $\mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{\tilde{f} := \chi_E \cdot f} \mathbb{R}$ of $E \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{R}$, then step 1

\Rightarrow ' \exists closed $C \subseteq \mathbb{R} : \dot{\mu}_{\mathbb{R} \setminus C} < \epsilon \wedge \tilde{f}|_C$ continuous'

\Rightarrow ' \exists closed $F \subseteq C \cap E : \dot{\mu}_{(C \cap E) \setminus F} < \frac{\epsilon - \dot{\mu}_{\mathbb{R} \setminus C}}{>0} \wedge \dot{\mu}_{E \setminus F} = [(C \cap E) \setminus F] \cup [(E \setminus C) \subseteq (\mathbb{R} \setminus C)] < \epsilon$ '

$\wedge \tilde{f}|_{F \subseteq E} = f|_F$ continuous'

$\xrightarrow{\text{theorem 1.21}} \exists$ continuous $\mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} \mathbb{R} : \tilde{f}|_F = f$ □

Remark. $\biguplus_{k=1, \dots, n} F_k \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{R}$ with closed $F_{k=1, \dots, n} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and continuous $f|_{F_{k=1, \dots, n}}$ is continuous.

1.5.4 LEBESGUE'S MEASURABILITY IS ALMOST BOREL'S MEASURABILITY

1.11 $\forall X \subseteq \mathbb{R}, X \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{R}$ is **Lebesgue-measurable** if $f_{\forall B \in \mathfrak{B}}^{-1} \in \mathfrak{L}$ ●

1.22 $\forall \mathfrak{L}$ -measurable $\mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{R} \exists \mathfrak{B}$ -measurable $\mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{g} \mathbb{R} : \dot{\mu}_{\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid g_x \neq f_x\}} = 0$ ■

Proof. \mathfrak{L} -measurable $\mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{\text{theorem 1.20}} \exists \left\{ \text{simple } \mathfrak{L}\text{-measurable } \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{f_k} \mathbb{R} \right\}_{k=1}^{\infty} :$

$f_{k \rightarrow \infty; \forall x \in X} = f_x \wedge f_{\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j \chi_{A_j}$ of distinct $c_{j=1, \dots, n} \in \mathbb{R}_{\neq 0}$ and disjoint $A_{j=1, \dots, n} \in \mathfrak{L}$. Theorem 1.8 \Rightarrow ' $\forall j \in \{1, \dots, n\} \exists B_j \in \mathfrak{B} : \dot{\mu}_{A_j \setminus (B_j \subseteq A_j)} = 0$ '

$\Rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$ -measurable $g_{\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j \chi_{B_j} : \dot{\mu}_{\epsilon_k = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid g_{k,x} \neq f_{k,x}\}} = 0$. Thus

$g_{k \rightarrow \infty; \forall x \in E} = f_x$ with $\dot{\mu}_{\mathbb{R} \setminus (E = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid \exists g_{k \rightarrow \infty, x}\}) \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_k} = 0 \Rightarrow \exists g_{\forall x \in \mathbb{R}} = (\chi_E \cdot g_{k \rightarrow \infty})_x$

$\xrightarrow{\mathfrak{B}\text{-measurable } (\chi_E \cdot g_{\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}})} \mathfrak{B}\text{-measurable } g : \dot{\mu}_{\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid g_x \neq f_x\} \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_k} = 0$ □

theorem 1.12

2 Integration

2.1 Integration with respect to a measure

2.1.1 Integration of nonnegative maps

2.1 $\{A_j \in \mathcal{S} \mid \biguplus_{k=1}^m A_k = X\}_{j=1}^{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}}$ is an \mathcal{S} -*partition* of a measurable space (X, \mathcal{S}) ●

2.2 The *integral* $\int f d\mu := \sup_{\mathcal{P}} \underbrace{\left\{ \mathcal{L}_{f, \mathcal{P} = \{A_j\}_{j=1}^m} := \sum_{j=1}^m \mu_{A_j} \inf_{A_j} f \right\}}_{\text{Lebesgue's lower sum}}$ of a meas-

urable $X \xrightarrow{f} \overline{\mathbb{R}}_{\geq 0}$ on the measure space (X, \mathcal{S}, μ) ●

2.1 $\int \chi_E d\mu = \mu_E \forall$ measure space $(X, \mathcal{S} \ni E, \mu)$ ■

Proof. $\int \chi_E d\mu \geq \mathcal{L}_{\chi_E, \exists \mathcal{S}\text{-partition } \{E, X \setminus E\} \text{ of } X} = \mu_E \geq \mu_{\biguplus_{j=1}^m A_j} = \sum_{j=1}^m \mu_{A_j}$

$= \sum_{j=1}^m \left(\mu_{A_j} \inf_{A_j} \chi_E = \begin{cases} \mu_{A_j} & \text{if } A_j \subseteq E \\ 0 & \text{if } A_j \setminus E \neq \emptyset \end{cases} \right) = \mathcal{L}_{\chi_E, \forall \mathcal{S}\text{-partition } \{A_j\}_{j=1}^m \text{ of } X}$ □

E.g. \forall LEBESGUE'S measure $\dot{\mu}$ on X , $\int \chi_Q d\dot{\mu} = \dot{\mu}_Q = 0$, $\int \chi_{[0,1] \setminus Q} d\dot{\mu} = \dot{\mu}_{[0,1] \setminus Q} = 1$.

E.g. $\int b d\mu = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k$, with $\mathbb{Z}_{>0} \xrightarrow{b: k \rightarrow b_k} \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, and μ the counting measure on $\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. [x]

2.2 $\int \left(\sum_{k=1}^n c_k \chi_{E_k} \right) d\mu \stackrel{\forall \{c_k \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}_{\geq 0}\}_{k=1}^n}{\forall \text{ disjoint sequence } \{E_k \in \mathcal{S}\}_{k=1}^n} \sum_{k=1}^n c_k \mu_{E_k} \forall$ measure space (X, \mathcal{S}, μ) ■

Proof. Define $f := \sum_{k=0}^n c_k \chi_{E_k}$, with $\{E_0 := X \setminus \biguplus_{k=1}^n E_k\} \cup \{E_k\}_{k=1}^n$ an \mathcal{S} -partition of X , and set $c_0 \equiv 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n c_k \mu_{E_k} &\equiv \sum_{k=0}^n c_k \mu_{E_k} = \mathcal{L}_{f, \{E_k\}_{k=0}^n} \leq \int (f \equiv \sum_{k=1}^n c_k \chi_{E_k}) d\mu \\ &= \mathcal{L}_{f, \exists \mathcal{S}\text{-partition } \{A_j = [B_j = \biguplus_{k=1}^n (B_{j,k} = A_j \cap E_k)] \uplus [A_j \setminus B_j]\}_{j=1}^m \text{ of } X} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^m \left\{ \mu_{A_j} \stackrel{\mu_{\emptyset} = 0}{=} \begin{cases} \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ B_{j,k} \neq \emptyset}}^n \mu_{B_{j,k}} + \mu_{A_j \setminus B_j} & \text{if } A_j \setminus B_j \neq \emptyset \\ \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ B_{j,k} \neq \emptyset}}^n \mu_{B_{j,k}} & \text{if } A_j \setminus B_j = \emptyset \end{cases} \right. \\ &\quad \times \left[\inf_{A_j} f \stackrel{(A_j \setminus B_j) \cap (E_{\forall k \in \{1, \dots, n\}} = \biguplus_{j=1}^m B_{j,k}) = \emptyset}{=} \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } A_j \setminus B_j \neq \emptyset \\ \min_{\substack{i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \\ B_{j,i} \neq \emptyset}} c_i & \text{if } A_j \setminus B_j = \emptyset \end{cases} \right] \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^m \left[\left(\sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ B_{j,k} \neq \emptyset}}^n \mu_{B_{j,k}} \right) \min_{\substack{i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \\ B_{j,i} \neq \emptyset}} c_i \leq \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ B_{j,k} \neq \emptyset}}^n \mu_{B_{j,k}} c_k \right] \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^n \mu_{B_{j,k}} c_k = \sum_{k=1}^n c_k \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \mu_{B_{j,k}} = \mu_{\biguplus_{j=1}^m B_{j,k} = E_k} \right) \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

2.3 $\int f d\mu \leq \int g d\mu \forall X \xrightarrow{f, g} \overline{\mathbb{R}}_{\geq 0}$ measurable on a measure space $(X, \mathcal{S}, \mu) : f_{\forall x \in X} \leq g_x$
 $(\Rightarrow \inf_{A_{\forall j \in \{1, \dots, m\}}} f \leq \inf_{A_j} g \Rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{f, \mathcal{P}} \leq \mathcal{L}_{g, \mathcal{P}}, \forall \mathcal{S}\text{-partition } \mathcal{P} = \{A_j\}_{j=1}^m \text{ of } X)$ ■

2.1.2 Monotone convergence theorem about limits & integrals

2.4 $\int f d\mu = \sup_{\mathcal{S} = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^m (c_j \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}) \mu_{A_j} \in \mathcal{S} \mid A_j = 1, \dots, m \text{ are disjoint} \wedge f_{\forall x \in X} \geq \sum_{j=1}^m c_j \chi_{A_j; x} \right\}}$

[ix] $\infty \cdot 0 := 0 =: 0 \cdot \infty$

[x] The *counting measure* μ on a measurable space (X, \mathcal{S}) counts the number of elements in $E \in \mathcal{S}$; i.e. $\mu_E := |E|$

\forall measurable $X \xrightarrow{f} \overline{\mathbb{R}}_{\geq 0}$ on a measure space (X, \mathcal{S}, μ) ■

Proof. 1. $\int f d\mu \geq \int \left(\sum_{j=1}^m c_j \chi_{A_j} \right) d\mu = \sum_{j=1}^m c_j \mu_{A_j}$.
theorem 2.2
theorem 2.3

2. C.f. definition 2.1. (a) $\inf_{A \in \mathcal{S}: \mu_A > 0} f < \infty \Rightarrow \forall \mathcal{S}$ -partition $\mathcal{P} = \{A_j \in \mathcal{S} \setminus \{\emptyset\}\}_{j=1}^m$

of X , taking $c_j = \inf_{A_j} f$ shows that $\mathcal{L}_{f, \mathcal{P}} \in \mathcal{S} \xrightarrow{\text{definition of } \int f d\mu} \sup_{\mathcal{S}} \geq \int f d\mu$.

(b) $\inf_{\exists A \in \mathcal{S}: \mu_A > 0} f = \infty \Rightarrow \forall t \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, taking $\{A_j\}_{j=1}^{m=1} = \{A\}$ and $c_1 = t$ shows that $\sup_{\mathcal{S}} \geq t\mu_A = \infty \geq \int f d\mu$ □

Theorem (monotone convergence) $\forall \left\{ X \xrightarrow{f_k} \overline{\mathbb{R}}_{\geq 0} \mid f_k \leq f_{k+1} \wedge f_k, \forall x \in X \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} f_x \right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$
of measurable maps on a measure space (X, \mathcal{S}, μ) , $\int f_k d\mu \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} \int f d\mu$ ■

Proof. 1. Theorem 1.13 $\Rightarrow X \xrightarrow{f} \overline{\mathbb{R}}_{\geq 0}$ is measurable $\xrightarrow[\text{theorem 2.3}]{f_{\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}; \forall x \in X \leq f_x}} \int f_{\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} d\mu$
 $\leq \int f d\mu \Rightarrow \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int f_k d\mu \leq \int f d\mu$

2. $\forall \{c_j \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\}_{j=1}^m \forall \{A_j \in \mathcal{S} \mid A_{j=1, \dots, m} \text{ are disjoint} \wedge f_{\forall x \in X} \geq \sum_{j=1}^m c_j \chi_{A_j; x}\}_{j=1}^m$
 $\forall t \in (0, 1), E_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} := \left\{ x \in X \mid f_{k; x} > t \sum_{j=1}^m c_j \chi_{A_j; x} \wedge \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} E_j = X \right\} \subseteq E_{k+1} \in \mathcal{S}$

$\xrightarrow[\text{theorem 1.14}]{\text{theorem 1.14}} \mu_{A_j \cap E_k} \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{A_j}$. Then $f_{\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}; \forall x \in X} \geq t \sum_{j=1}^m c_j \chi_{A_j \cap E_k; x}$

$\xrightarrow[\text{theorem 2.4}]{\text{theorem 2.4}} \int f_{\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} d\mu \geq t \sum_{j=1}^m c_j \mu_{A_j \cap E_k} \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int f_k d\mu \geq t \sum_{j=1}^m c_j \mu_{A_j}$

$\xrightarrow{t \rightarrow 1} \sum_{j=1}^m c_j \mu_{A_j} \xrightarrow{\text{taking supremum over } \mathcal{S} \text{ in theorem 2.4}} \int f d\mu$ □

2.5 \forall measure space (X, \mathcal{S}, μ) , $f = \sum_{j=1}^m (a_j \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}_{\geq 0}) \chi_{A_j \in \mathcal{S}} = \sum_{k=1}^n (b_k \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}_{\geq 0}) \chi_{B_k \in \mathcal{S}} = g$
 $\Rightarrow \sum_{j=1}^m a_j \mu_{A_j} = \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \mu_{B_k}$ ■

Proof. 1. Say $\bigcup_{j=1}^m A_j = X$.^[xi] \forall nondisjoint pairs $A'_{k=1,2} \in \{A_j\}_{j=1}^m$, repeat the de-

composition $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \bigcup_{j=1}^2 A'_j = \frac{(A'_1 \setminus A'_2) \uplus (A'_1 \cap A'_2) \uplus (A'_2 \setminus A'_1)}{A'_1} \\ \sum_{j=1}^2 a_j \chi_{A'_j} = a_1 \chi_{A'_1 \setminus A'_2} + (a_1 + a_2) \chi_{A'_1 \cap A'_2} + a_2 \chi_{A'_2 \setminus A'_1} \\ \sum_{j=1}^2 a_j \mu_{A'_j} = a_1 \mu_{A'_1 \setminus A'_2} + (a_1 + a_2) \mu_{A'_1 \cap A'_2} + a_2 \mu_{A'_2 \setminus A'_1} \end{array} \right.$ for finite steps,

one can convert the initial sets A into disjoint ones with modified coefficients a but unchanged value of $\sum a \mu_A$.

2. Replace the sets A corresponding to each modified a from step 1 by $\bigcup A$, μ 's finite additivity $\Rightarrow \sum a \mu_A$'s value remains unchanged when making the coefficients a distinct.

3. Drop any terms for which $A = \emptyset$, getting f 's standard^[xii] representation with $\sum a \mu_A$'s value unchanged. Finally, applying the same procedure to g shows that $f = g$ iff $\sum a \mu_A = \sum b \mu_B$. □

[xi] Otherwise add the term $0 \cdot \chi_{X \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^m A_j}$ to the simple map $X \xrightarrow{f} \overline{\mathbb{R}}_{\geq 0}$

[xii] The representation $\sum_{k=1}^n c_k \chi_{E_k} \forall$ simple map $X \xrightarrow{h} \overline{\mathbb{R}}_{\geq 0}$ on a measurable space (X, \mathcal{S}) is **standard** if $c_{k=1, \dots, n} \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}_{\geq 0}$ are disjoint $\wedge \{E_k = h_{(c_k)}^{-1} \neq \emptyset\}_{k=1}^n$ is an \mathcal{S} -partition of X

$$2.6 \int \left(\sum_{k=1}^n c_k \chi_{E_k} \right) d\mu = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n c_k \mu_{E_k}}{\sum_{k=1}^n \chi_{E_k}} \quad \forall \text{ measurable } X \xrightarrow{f, g} \overline{\mathbb{R}}_{\geq 0} \text{ on a measure space } (X, \mathcal{S}, \mu) \quad \blacksquare$$

Proof. Apply theorems 2.2 & 2.5 on the standard representation of $\sum_{k=1}^n c_k \chi_{E_k}$ \square

$$2.7 \int (f + g) d\mu = \int f d\mu + \int g d\mu \quad \forall \text{ measurable } X \xrightarrow{f, g} \overline{\mathbb{R}}_{\geq 0} \text{ on a measure space } (X, \mathcal{S}, \mu) \quad \blacksquare$$

Proof. Theorem 1.2.0 $\Rightarrow \exists$ increasing sequences $\left\{ X \xrightarrow{f_k} \overline{\mathbb{R}}_{\geq 0} \right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ & $\left\{ X \xrightarrow{g_k} \overline{\mathbb{R}}_{\geq 0} \right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ of simple maps measurable on (X, \mathcal{S}, μ) : $f_{\forall X \in X} = f_{k \rightarrow \infty; X}$ & $g_{\forall X \in X} = g_{k \rightarrow \infty; X}$. Then

$$\int (f + g) d\mu \xleftarrow[\text{monotone convergence theorem}]{\infty \leftarrow k} \int (f_k + g_k) d\mu \xrightarrow[\text{theorem 2.6}]{\text{theorem 2.6}} \int f_k d\mu + \int g_k d\mu$$

$$\xrightarrow[\text{monotone convergence theorem}]{k \rightarrow \infty} \int f d\mu + \int g d\mu \quad \square$$

2.1.3 Integration of real-valued maps

2.3 Define $X \xrightarrow{f^\pm} \overline{\mathbb{R}}_{\geq 0}$ by $f_{\forall X \in X}^\pm := \max\{f_X, 0\} \forall X \xrightarrow{f} \overline{\mathbb{R}}$. f is measurable on a measure space (X, \mathcal{S}, μ) with at least one of $\int f^\pm d\mu < \infty \Rightarrow \int f d\mu := \int f^+ d\mu - \int f^- d\mu$ \bullet

Remark. $\bullet \int (|f| = f^+ - f^-) d\mu < \infty$ iff $\int |f^\pm| d\mu < \infty$.

$\bullet \int f d\mu$ is defined \Rightarrow measurable f with at least one of $\int f^\pm d\mu < \infty$.

E.g. $\int \text{sgn} d\mu$ is not defined \forall LEBESGUE'S measure μ on \mathbb{R} because $\int \text{sgn}^\pm d\mu = \infty$.

2.8 \forall measurable $X \xrightarrow{f} \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ on a measure space (X, \mathcal{S}, μ) , $\int f d\mu$ is defined

$$\Rightarrow \int c f d\mu \xrightarrow{\forall c \in \mathbb{R}} c \int f d\mu \wedge \left| \int f d\mu \right| \leq \int |f| d\mu \quad \blacksquare$$

Proof. 1. Without loss of generality, say $c \geq 0$. Then $\int c f d\mu = \sum_{s=\pm} s \int (c f)^s d\mu$

$$\xrightarrow[\forall X \xrightarrow{g} \overline{\mathbb{R}}_{\geq 0} \quad \forall \text{ partition } \mathcal{P} \text{ of } X]{\mathcal{L}_{cg, \mathcal{P}} = c \mathcal{L}_{g, \mathcal{P}} \Rightarrow \int c g d\mu = c \int g d\mu} \sum_{s=\pm} s \left(\int c f^s d\mu = c \int f^s d\mu \right) = c \int f d\mu.$$

$$2. \left| \int f d\mu \right| = \left| \sum_{s=\pm} s \int f^s d\mu \right| \leq \sum_{s=\pm} s \int f^s d\mu = \int |f| d\mu \quad \square$$

∞ for at least one of $s</math>$

2.9 \forall measurable $X \xrightarrow{f_{k=1,2}} \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ on a measure space (X, \mathcal{S}, μ)

$$\bullet \int |f_{k=1,2}| d\mu < \infty \Rightarrow \int \left(\sum_{k=1}^2 f_k \right) d\mu = \sum_{k=1}^2 \int f_k d\mu \text{ (c.f. theorem 2.7)}$$

$$\bullet f_{1, \forall X \in X} \leq f_{2, X} \Rightarrow \int f_1 d\mu \leq \int f_2 d\mu \text{ (c.f. theorem 2.3)} \quad \blacksquare$$

2.2 Limits of integrals & integrals of limits

2.2.1 Bounded convergence theorem

2.10 $\left| \int_E f d\mu := \int \chi_E f d\mu \right| \leq \int \chi_E (|f| \leq \sup_E |f|) d\mu = \mu_E \sup_E |f| \quad \forall \text{ measurable } X \xrightarrow{f} \overline{\mathbb{R}} \text{ on a measure space } (X, \mathcal{S} \ni E, \mu) \quad \blacksquare$

Theorem (bounded convergence) $\forall \left\{ X \xrightarrow{f_k} \mathbb{R} \mid f_{k; \forall x \in X} \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} f_x \right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ of measurable maps on a measure space (X, \mathcal{S}, μ) with $\mu_X < \infty$, $\int f_k d\mu \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} \int f d\mu$ if $\exists c \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \mid f_{\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}; \forall x \in X}$ ■

Proof. Theorem 1.12 \Rightarrow measurable $X \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{\text{EGOROV'S THEOREM}} \forall \epsilon > 0 \exists E \in \mathcal{S} :$

$\mu_{X \setminus E} < \epsilon/4c \wedge \{f_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ converges to f uniformly^[xiii] on E

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int f_k d\mu - \int f d\mu \right| &= \left| \int_{X \setminus E} f_k d\mu - \int_{X \setminus E} f d\mu + \int_E (f_k - f) d\mu \right| \\ &\leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \underbrace{\int_{X \setminus E} |f_k| d\mu}_{\leq \mu_{X \setminus E} c < \epsilon/4} + \underbrace{\int_{X \setminus E} |f| d\mu}_{\leq \mu_{X \setminus E} c < \epsilon/4} + \underbrace{\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_E |f_k - f| d\mu}_{\leq (\mu_E < \infty) (\sup_E |f_k - f| < \epsilon/2\mu_E)} < \epsilon \xrightarrow{\text{arbitrariness of } \epsilon} 0 \end{aligned}$$

Remark. EGOROV'S theorem is crucial for interchanging limits and integrals in proofs.

2.2.2 0-measure sets in integration theorems

2.4 \forall measure space (X, \mathcal{S}, μ) , $E \in \mathcal{S}$ contains **almost every** $x \in X$ (denote $\forall x \in X$) if $\mu_{X \setminus E} = 0$ ●

Remark 1. Integration theorems can almost always be relaxed to hold for almost everywhere instead of everywhere. *E.g.* relax in the bounded convergence theorem

' $f_{k; \forall x \in X} \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} f_x$ ' to ' $f_{k; \forall x \in X} \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} f_x$ '; i.e. $\exists E \in \mathcal{S} : \mu_{X \setminus E} = 0 \wedge f_{k; \forall x \in E} \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} f_x$,

$$\text{then } \int f_k d\mu = \int_E f_k d\mu \equiv \int \chi_E \left(f_k \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} f \right) d\mu \equiv \int_E f d\mu = \int f d\mu.$$

2.2.3 Dominated convergence theorem

2.11 \forall measurable $X \xrightarrow{g} \overline{\mathbb{R}}_{\geq 0}$ on a measure space (X, \mathcal{S}, μ) with $\int g d\mu < \infty \forall \epsilon > 0$

$$1. \exists \delta > 0 : \int_{\forall B \in \mathcal{S}; \mu_B < \delta} g d\mu < \epsilon$$

$$2. \exists E \in \mathcal{S} : \int_{X \setminus E; \mu_E < \infty} g d\mu < \epsilon \quad \blacksquare$$

Proof. 1. Theorem 2.4 \Rightarrow ' \exists simple \mathcal{S} -measurable $X \xrightarrow{h \in [0, g]} \overline{\mathbb{R}}_{\geq 0} : \int g d\mu - \int h d\mu < \infty$ ' $\in [0, \epsilon/2)$ $\Rightarrow \exists \delta > 0 : \delta \max_{\{h_x \mid x \in X\}} < \epsilon/2 \wedge \int_{B; \mu_B < \delta} g d\mu = \int_B (g - h) d\mu + \int_B h d\mu < \epsilon.$

$$\leq \int (g - h) d\mu < \epsilon/2 \quad \leq H \mu_B < H \delta < \epsilon/2$$

2. ' $\exists \mathcal{S}$ -measurable partition $\mathcal{P} = \{A_j\}_{j=1}^m$ of $X : \int g d\mu - \mathcal{L}_{g, \mathcal{P}} \in [0, \epsilon) \wedge \mu_{E = \bigcup_{j=1, \dots, m} A_j} = \inf_{A_j} g > 0$

$< \infty$ ($\Leftarrow \mathcal{L}_{g, \mathcal{P}} < \infty$) $\wedge \inf_{\forall A \in \mathcal{P}; A \neq \emptyset} g = 0$ ($\Rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{g, \mathcal{P}} = \mathcal{L}_{\chi_E g, \mathcal{P}}$)

$$\Rightarrow \int_{X \setminus E} g d\mu = \int g d\mu - \int \chi_E g d\mu - \int \chi_E g d\mu < \epsilon + \mathcal{L}_{g, \mathcal{P}} - \mathcal{L}_{\chi_E g, \mathcal{P}} = \epsilon \quad \square$$

Theorem (dominated convergence) \forall measurable $X \xrightarrow{f} \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ on a measure space

$(X, \mathcal{S}, \mu) \quad \forall \left\{ \text{measurable } X \xrightarrow{f_k} \overline{\mathbb{R}} \mid f_{k; \forall x \in X} \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} f_x \right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}, \quad \int f_k d\mu \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} \int f d\mu \quad \text{if}$

\exists measurable $X \xrightarrow{g} \overline{\mathbb{R}}_{\geq 0} : \int g d\mu < \infty \wedge \left| f_{\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}; \forall x \in X} \right| \leq g_x$ ■

^[xiii] i.e. $|f_k - f|$ arbitrarily small for large enough k

$$\text{Proof. } \left| \int f_k d\mu - \int f d\mu \right| \stackrel{\forall E \in \mathcal{S}}{=} \left| \int_{X \setminus E} f_k d\mu - \int_{X \setminus E} f d\mu + \int_E f_k d\mu - \int_E f d\mu \right| \\ \leq \left(\left| \int_{X \setminus E} f_k d\mu \right| + \left| \int_{X \setminus E} f d\mu \right| \leq \left| 2 \int_{X \setminus E} g d\mu \right| \right) + \left| \int_E (f_k - f) d\mu \right|$$

1. $\mu_X < \infty \xrightarrow{\text{EGOROV'S theorem}} \exists E \in \mathcal{S} : \mu_{X \setminus E} < \infty \xrightarrow{\text{theorem 2.11.1}} \int_{X \setminus E} g d\mu < \epsilon/4 \wedge \{f_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$
converges uniformly on E to f ($\Rightarrow \left| \int_E (f_k - f) d\mu \right| < \epsilon/2$ for large enough k). Thus
 $\left| \int f_k d\mu - \int f d\mu \right| \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} 0$

2. For $\mu_X = \infty$, theorem 2.11.2 $\Rightarrow \exists E \in \mathcal{S} : \mu_E < \infty \wedge \int_{X \setminus E} g d\mu < \epsilon/4$. Besides,
 $\left| \int_E f_k d\mu - \int_E f d\mu \right| < \epsilon/2$ for large enough k by case 1 as applied to $\{f_k|_E\}_{k=1}^\infty$. Thus
 $\left| \int f_k d\mu - \int f d\mu \right| \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} 0$ \square

2.2.4 RIEMANN'S & LEBESGUE'S integrals

2.12 A bounded $[a, b] \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{R}$ is Riemann-integrable iff $\dot{\mu}_{\{x \in [a, b] \mid f \text{ is discontinuous at } x\}} = 0$
(say $-\infty < a < b < \infty$); besides, f is measurable on the measure space $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{L}, \dot{\mu})$, with
Riemann's integral $\int_a^b f = \int_{[a, b]} f d\dot{\mu}$ ■

Proof. \forall partition $\mathcal{P}_{\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}}$ dividing $[a, b]$ into 2^n subintervals $I_{j=1, \dots, 2^n}$ of equal size
 $(b-a)/2^n$, RIEMANN'S lower sum $L_{f, \mathcal{P}_n, [a, b]} = \int_{[a, b]} (g_n = \sum_{j=1}^{2^n} \chi_{I_j} \inf_{I_j} f) d\dot{\mu}$ & upper sum
 $U_{f, \mathcal{P}_n, [a, b]} = \int_{[a, b]} (h_n = \sum_{j=1}^{2^n} \chi_{I_j} \sup_{I_j} f) d\dot{\mu}$ [xv] Then $g_1 \leq \dots \leq g_{n \rightarrow \infty} \leq f \leq h_{n \rightarrow \infty} \leq$
 $\dots \leq h_1 \xrightarrow[\text{(if applicable; c.f. remark 1)}]{\text{bounded convergence theorem}} \text{RIEMANN'S lower \& upper integrals } L_{f, [a, b]}$

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L_{f, \mathcal{P}_n, [a, b]} = \int_{[a, b]} g_{n \rightarrow \infty} d\dot{\mu} \text{ \& } U_{f, [a, b]} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} U_{f, \mathcal{P}_n, [a, b]} = \int_{[a, b]} h_{n \rightarrow \infty} d\dot{\mu}$$

Thus RIEMANN-integrable $f \xleftrightarrow{\text{by definition}} L_{f, [a, b]} \equiv U_{f, [a, b]}$

$$\iff \int_{[a, b]} (h_{n \rightarrow \infty} - g_{n \rightarrow \infty} \geq 0) d\dot{\mu} = 0$$

$$\iff 0 = \{x \in [a, b] \mid g_{n \rightarrow \infty; x} \neq h_{n \rightarrow \infty; x}\} = \{x \in [a, b] \mid f \text{ is discontinuous at } x\} \quad \square$$

2.2.5 Approximation by nice maps

2.5 \forall measurable $X \xrightarrow{f} \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ on a measure space (X, \mathcal{S}, μ) , f 's \mathcal{L}^1 -norm $\|f\|_1 := \int |f| d\mu$;

Lebesgue's space $\mathcal{L}_\mu^1 := \left\{ \mathcal{S}\text{-measurable } X \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{R} \mid \|f\|_1 < \infty \right\}$ ●

E.g. \forall measure space (X, \mathcal{S}, μ) , $f \xrightarrow[\text{E}_{k=1, \dots, n} \in X \text{ disjoint}]{\text{a}_{k=1, \dots, n} \in \mathbb{R}_{\neq 0} \text{ distinct}} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \chi_{E_k} \in \mathcal{L}_\mu^1$ iff

$$\mu_{E_{\forall k \in \{1, \dots, n\} \in \mathcal{S}}} < \infty, \text{ with } \|f\|_1 = \sum_{k=1}^n |a_k| \mu_{E_k}.$$

E.g. \mathcal{L}_μ^1 is ℓ^1 if μ is the counting measure on the measurable space $(\mathbb{Z}_{>0}, 2^{\mathbb{Z}_{>0}})$.

Say $\mathbb{Z}_{>0} \xrightarrow{a: k \rightarrow a_k} \mathbb{R}$, then $\|a \in \ell^1\|_1 = \sum_{k=1}^\infty |a_k| < \infty$.

Properties (\mathcal{L}^1 -norm's) \forall measure space $(X, \mathcal{S}, \mu) \forall f \text{ \& } g \in \mathcal{L}_\mu^1$

[xiv] Say $-\infty \leq a < b < c \leq \infty$, $(a, b) \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{R}$ is measurable on $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{L}, \dot{\mu})$, then $-\int_b^a f = \int_a^b f \equiv \int_a^b f_x dx \equiv \int_{(a, b)} f d\dot{\mu} = \int_a^c f + \int_c^b f$

[xv] For aesthetically pleasing form of mathematics, at each of the endpoints (other than a & b) that is in two of the subintervals, change g_n 's value to be f 's infimum over the two subintervals, and h_n 's value to be f 's supremum over the two subintervals.

- $\|f\|_1 \geq 0$
- $\|f\|_1 = 0$ iff $f_{\forall x \in X} = 0$
- $\|cf\|_1 \stackrel{\forall c \in \mathbb{R}}{=} |c| \cdot \|f\|_1$
- $\|f + g\|_1 \leq \|f\|_1 + \|g\|_1$

- $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists$ simple $h \in \mathcal{L}_\mu^1 : \|f - h\|_1 < \epsilon$ ■

2.6 Denotes \mathcal{L}_μ^1 by $\mathcal{L}_\mathbb{R}^1$ for the measure space $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{F} \in \{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{L}\}, \dot{\mu})$, with $\|f\|_1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f| d\dot{\mu}$

●

2.7 $\mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{\vartheta = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \chi_{I_k}} \mathbb{R}$ with intervals $I_{k=1, \dots, n} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and $a_{k=1, \dots, n} \in \mathbb{R}_{\neq 0}$ is a **step map** ●

Remark. • $\|\vartheta\|_1 = \sum_{k=1}^n |a_k| \dot{\mu}_{I_k}$ if $I_{k=1, \dots, n}$ are disjoint.

- $\vartheta \in \mathcal{L}_\mathbb{R}^1$ iff $\dot{\mu}_{\bigcup_{k \in \{1, \dots, n\}} I_k} < \infty$.

• The intervals in ϑ 's definition can be open or closed, or half-open; including/excluding interval endpoints does not matter when using ϑ in integrals.

2.13 $\forall f \in \mathcal{L}_\mathbb{R}^1 \forall \epsilon > 0$

- \exists step $\vartheta \in \mathcal{L}_\mathbb{R}^1 : \|f - \vartheta\|_1 < \epsilon$

- \exists continuous $\mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{g} \mathbb{R} : \|f - g\|_1 < \epsilon \wedge \dot{\mu}_{\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid g_x \neq 0\}} < \infty$ ■

3 Differentiation

3.1 HARDY-LITTLEWOOD'S maximal map

Inequality (MARKOV'S) $\mu_{\{x \in X \mid |h(x)| > c\}} \leq \frac{\|h\|_{\mathcal{L}^1_\mu}}{c} \forall$ measure spaces (X, \mathcal{S}, μ) ■

Lemma (VITALI'S covering) Every sequence $\{I_k \subseteq \mathbb{R}\}_{k=1}^n$ of bounded nonempty open intervals has a disjoint subsequence $\{I_{k_j}\}_{j=1}^m : \bigcup_{k=1}^n I_k \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^m 3I_{k_j}$, with $3I$ the open interval with the same centre as I and $\mu_{3I} = 3\mu_I$ ■

Inequality (HARDY-LITTLEWOOD'S maximal) $\mu_{\{b \in \mathbb{R} \mid h_b^* > c\}} \leq 3 \frac{\|h\|_{\mathcal{L}^1_\mathbb{R}}}{c} \forall$ with

$$\mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{h^* : b \mapsto \sup_{t>0} \frac{\left(\int_{b-t}^{b+t} |h|\right)}{2t}} \overline{\mathbb{R}}_{\geq 0} \quad \text{HARDY-LITTLEWOOD'S maximal map}^{[xvi]} \quad \forall \mathcal{L}\text{-measurable } \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{h} \mathbb{R} \quad \blacksquare$$

3.2 Derivatives of integrals

3.1 $(I \xrightarrow{g} \mathbb{R})$'s **derivative** $g'_b := \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{(g_{b+t} - g_b)}{t}$ (if the limit exists; g is then dubbed **differentiable**) at $b \in I \forall$ open interval $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ ■

Fundamental theorem of calculus $f \in \mathcal{L}^1_\mathbb{R}$ is continuous at $b \in \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow g'_b = f_b$ with

$$\mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{g : x \mapsto \int_{-\infty}^x f} \mathbb{R}$$

Theorem (LEBESGUE'S differentiation) $f \in \mathcal{L}^1_\mathbb{R} \Rightarrow \forall b \in \mathbb{R}$

- $\lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{\left(\int_{b-t}^{b+t} |f - f_b|\right)}{2t} = 0$

- $g'_b = f_b$ with $\mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{g : x \mapsto \int_{-\infty}^x f} \mathbb{R}$ ■

3.1 $\# \mathcal{L}$ -measurable $E \subseteq [0, 1] : \mu_{E \cap [0, b]} = b/2 \forall b \in [0, 1]$ ■

Proof. \exists such $E \Rightarrow g_{b \in \mathbb{R}} = \int_{-\infty}^b \chi_E \stackrel{\forall b \in [0, 1]}{=} b/2$

$$\Rightarrow 1/2 \stackrel{\forall b \in (0, 1)}{=} g'_b \stackrel{\text{LEBESGUE'S differentiation theorem}}{=} \chi_{E; b} \in \{0, 1\} \quad \square$$

3.2 $f_{\forall b \in \mathbb{R}} = \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{\left(\int_{b-t}^{b+t} f\right)}{2t} \forall f \in \mathcal{L}^1_\mathbb{R}$ ■

3.2 $\rho_{E \subseteq \mathbb{R}; b \in \mathbb{R}} := \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{\left(\mu_{E \cap (b-t, b+t)}\right)}{2t}$ is E 's **density** at b ■

E.g. $\rho_{[0, 1]; b} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } b \in (0, 1) \\ 1/2 & \text{if } b \in \{0, 1\}. \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

Theorem (LEBESGUE'S density) $\rho_{\forall E \in \mathcal{G}; b} = \begin{cases} 1 & \forall b \in E \\ 0 & \forall b \in \mathbb{R} \setminus E \end{cases}$ ■

3.3 $\exists E \in \mathcal{G} : 0 < \mu_{E \cap I} < \mu_I \forall$ nonempty bounded open interval I ■

^[xvi] E.g. $(\chi_{[-1, 1/2]})'_b = \begin{cases} 1/(1+2|b|) & \text{if } 2|b| \geq 1 \\ 1 & \text{if } 2|b| < 1 \end{cases}$

4 Product Measures

4.1 Product of measure spaces

4.1.1 Product σ -algebras

4.1 $A \times B$ is a *rectangle* in $X \times Y \forall (A, B) \in 2^{X \times Y}$ ●

4.2 The *product* $\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T}$ is the smallest σ -algebra on $X \times Y$ containing all rectangles $A \times B$ (dubbed *measurable*) with $(A, B) \in \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{T} \forall$ measurable spaces (X, \mathcal{S}) & (Y, \mathcal{T})

4.3 $[E]_{a \in X} := \{y \in Y \mid (a, y) \in E\}$ and $[E]^{b \in Y} := \{x \in X \mid (x, b) \in E\}$ are the *cross sections* of $E \subseteq X \times Y$ ●

Example 4.1 $[A \times B]_{a \in X} = \begin{cases} B & \text{if } a \in A \\ \emptyset & \text{if } a \notin A \end{cases}$ & $[A \times B]^{b \in Y} = \begin{cases} A & \text{if } b \in B \\ \emptyset & \text{if } b \notin B \end{cases}$

$\forall (A, B) \in 2^{X \times Y}$.

4.1 $([E]^{b \in Y}, [E]_{a \in X}) \in \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{T} \forall E \in \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T} \forall$ measurable spaces (X, \mathcal{S}) & (Y, \mathcal{T}) ■

Proof. $A \times B \in \mathcal{E} = \{E \subseteq X \times Y \mid ([E]^{b \in Y}, [E]_{a \in X}) \in \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{T}\} \forall (A, B) \in \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{T}$ by example 4.1, with \mathcal{E} closed under complementation and countable unions as $[(X \times Y) \setminus E]_a = Y \setminus [E]_a$, $[\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} (E_k \subseteq X \times Y)]_a = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} [E_k]_a \forall a \in X$ etc. Hence \mathcal{E} is a σ -algebra on $X \times Y$ containing all $A \times B \in \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T}$; i.e. $\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ □

4.4 $Y \xrightarrow{[f]_{a \in X: Y \rightarrow f_{a,y}}} \mathbb{R}$ & $X \xrightarrow{[f]^{b \in Y: X \rightarrow f_{x,b}}} \mathbb{R}$ are the *cross sections* of $X \times Y \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{R}$ ●

4.2 $[f]_{a \in X}$ is \mathcal{T} -measurable on Y and $[f]^{b \in Y}$ is \mathcal{S} -measurable on $X \forall \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T}$ -measurable $X \times Y \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{R} \forall$ measurable spaces (X, \mathcal{S}) & (Y, \mathcal{T}) ■

Proof. $\forall B \in \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T}$ -measurable $f \Rightarrow f_B^{-1} \in \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T} \xrightarrow{\text{theorem 4.1}} [f_B^{-1}]_a \in \mathcal{T}$; besides, $y \in ([f]_a)_B^{-1} \iff f_{a,y} = ([f]_a)_y \in B \iff (a, y) \in f_B^{-1} \iff y \in [f_B^{-1}]_a$. Thus $([f]_a)_{\forall B \in \mathcal{B}}^{-1} = [f_B^{-1}]_a \in \mathcal{T}$; i.e. $[f]_a$ is \mathcal{T} -measurable. Similarly, $[f]^b$ is \mathcal{S} -measurable. □

4.1.2 Monotone class theorem

4.5 $\mathcal{A} \subseteq 2^X$ is an *algebra* on X if

• $\emptyset \in \mathcal{A}$

• $E \in \mathcal{A} \Rightarrow X \setminus E \in \mathcal{A}$

• $E_{k=1,2} \in \mathcal{A} \Rightarrow \bigcup_{k=1}^2 E_k \in \mathcal{A}$ ●

4.3 \forall measurable spaces (X, \mathcal{S}) and (Y, \mathcal{T}) , the set \mathcal{A} of finite unions of rectangles in $\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T}$ is an algebra on $X \times Y$, each such union equals a finite union of disjoint measurable rectangles in $\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T}$ ■

Proof. 1. (a) Obviously \mathcal{A} is closed under finite unions.

(b) $\forall A_{1,\dots,n} \& C_{1,\dots,m} \in \mathcal{S} \forall B_{1,\dots,n} \& D_{1,\dots,m} \in \mathcal{T}, (\bigcup_{j=1}^n A_j \times B_j) \cap (\bigcup_{k=1}^m C_k \times D_k) = \bigcup_{j=1}^n \bigcup_{k=1}^m [(A_j \times B_j) \cap (C_k \times D_k) = (A_j \cap C_k) \times (B_j \cap D_k)]$; intersection of two rectangles is a rectangle, implying that \mathcal{A} is closed under finite intersections.

(c) $(X \times Y) \setminus (A \times B) = [(X \setminus A) \times Y] \cup [X \times (Y \setminus B)] \forall (A, B) \in \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{T}$. Hence the complement of each $\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T}$ -measurable rectangle is in \mathcal{A} . Thus the complement of a finite union of $\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T}$ -measurable rectangles is in \mathcal{A} (use DE MORGAN'S laws

and step (b) that \mathcal{A} is closed under finite intersections). I.e. \mathcal{A} is closed under complementation.

2. $[A \times B] \cup [C \times D] = [A \times B] \uplus [C \times (D \setminus B)] \uplus [(C \setminus A) \times (B \cap D)] \quad \forall \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T}$ -measurable rectangles $A \times B$ & $C \times D$. Hence \forall finite union of $\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T}$ -measurable rectangles, if it is not a disjoint union, choose any non-disjoint pair of measurable rectangles in the union and replace them with the union of three disjoint measurable rectangles as above. Iterate this process until obtaining a disjoint union of measurable rectangles. \square

4.6 $\mathcal{M} \subseteq 2^X$ is a **monotone class** on X if

$$\bullet \{E_k \in \mathcal{M} \mid E_{\forall j \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \subseteq E_{j+1}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \Rightarrow \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} E_k \in \mathcal{M}$$

$$\bullet \{E_k \in \mathcal{M} \mid E_{\forall j \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \supseteq E_{j+1}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \Rightarrow \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} E_k \in \mathcal{M} \quad \bullet$$

Theorem (monotone class) *The smallest σ -algebra \mathcal{S} containing an algebra \mathcal{A} on X is the smallest monotone class \mathcal{M} containing \mathcal{A}* \blacksquare

Proof. 1. Every σ -algebra is a monotone class $\Rightarrow \mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$.

2. (a) $A \in \mathcal{A} \Rightarrow \mathcal{A} \subseteq$ monotone class $\mathcal{E} = \{E \in \mathcal{M} \mid A \cup E \in \mathcal{M}\}$ (as $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ is closed under finite union) $\Rightarrow A \cup E \in \mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{E} \forall E \in \mathcal{M} \Rightarrow$

(b) $\mathcal{A} \subseteq$ monotone class $\mathcal{D} = \{D \in \mathcal{M} \mid D \cup E \in \mathcal{M} \forall E \in \mathcal{M}\} \Rightarrow \mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ is closed under finite union \Rightarrow

(c) i. $F_k = \bigcup_{j=1}^k (E_j \in \mathcal{M}) \in \mathcal{M} \Rightarrow F_{k \rightarrow \infty} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} F_k \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ (as \mathcal{M} is a monotone class) $\Rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is closed under countable union.

ii. \mathcal{A} is closed under complementation $\Rightarrow \mathcal{A} \subseteq$ monotone class $\mathcal{M}' = \{E \in \mathcal{M} \mid X \setminus E \in \mathcal{M}\} \Rightarrow \mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{M}'$ is closed under complementation.

Hence \mathcal{M} is an σ -algebra containing \mathcal{A} , and thus $\mathcal{M} \supseteq \mathcal{S}$ \square

4.1.3 Products of measures

4.7 A measure μ on a measurable space (X, \mathcal{S}) is dubbed

Finite if $\mu_X < \infty$.

σ -finite if $X = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} (X_k \in \mathcal{S})$ with $\mu_{X_{\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}}} < \infty$ \bullet

E.g. \bullet LEBESGUE'S measure on $[0, 1]$ is finite.

\bullet LEBESGUE'S measure on \mathbb{R} is not finite but σ -finite.

\bullet Counting measure on \mathbb{R} is not σ -finite (because the countable union of finite sets is countable).

4.4 $\forall \sigma$ -finite measure spaces (X, \mathcal{S}, μ) & (Y, \mathcal{T}, ν)

1. $x \mapsto \nu_{[E]_x}$ is \mathcal{S} -measurable on X and $y \mapsto \mu_{[E]_y}$ is \mathcal{T} -measurable on $Y \forall E \in \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T}$.

2. the **product** $\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T} \xrightarrow{\mu \times \nu: E \mapsto \int_X \int_Y \chi_{E_{X,Y}} d\nu d\mu} (\mu \times \nu)_{\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T}}$ is a measure on $(X \times Y, \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T})$ \blacksquare

Proof. 1. Without lose of generality, one just need to prove that $x \mapsto \nu_{[E]_x}$ (well-defined, as $[E \in \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T}]_{\forall x \in X} \in \mathcal{T} \Leftarrow$ theorem 4.1) is \mathcal{S} -measurable on X .

(a) If ν is finite, one need to prove that

$$\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T} = \mathcal{M} = \{E \in \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T} : x \mapsto \nu_{[E]_x} \text{ is } \mathcal{S}\text{-measurable on } X\}.$$

By example 4.1, $(A, B) \in \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{T} \Rightarrow \nu_{[A \times B]_x} = \nu_B \chi_{A;x} \quad \forall x \in X$; i.e. $x \mapsto \nu_{[A \times B]_x}$ equals the \mathcal{S} -measurable map $\nu_B \chi_A$ on X . Hence \mathcal{M} contains all measurable rectangles in $\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T}$.

By theorem 4.3, $E \in$ algebra \mathcal{A} of all finite unions of measurable rectangles in $\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T} \Rightarrow \exists$ measurable rectangles $E_{k=1, \dots, n} : \nu_{[E = \bigcup_{k=1}^n E_k]_x} = \bigcup_{k=1}^n \nu_{[E_k]_x} = \sum_{k=1}^n \nu_{[E_k]_x}$. i.e. $x \mapsto \nu_{[E]_x}$ is a finite sum of \mathcal{S} -measurable maps and is thus \mathcal{S} -measurable. Hence $E \in \mathcal{M}$, and $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$.

The next is to show that \mathcal{M} is a monotone class on $X \times Y$. \forall increasing sequence $\{E_k \in \mathcal{M}\}_{k=1}^\infty$, $\nu_{[\bigcup_{k=1}^\infty E_k]_x} = \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty \nu_{[E_k]_x} \xleftarrow{\infty \leftarrow k} \nu_{[E_k]_x}$. Hence $x \mapsto \nu_{[\bigcup_{k=1}^\infty E_k]_x}$ is \mathcal{S} -measurable, $^{\text{[xvii]}} \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty E_k \in \mathcal{M}$, and \mathcal{M} is closed under countable increasing unions. \forall decreasing sequence $\{E_k \in \mathcal{M}\}_{k=1}^\infty$, $\nu_{[\bigcap_{k=1}^\infty E_k]_x} = \bigcap_{k=1}^\infty \nu_{[E_k]_x} \xleftarrow{\infty \leftarrow k} \nu_{[E_k]_x}$ for finite ν . Hence $x \mapsto \nu_{[\bigcap_{k=1}^\infty E_k]_x}$ is \mathcal{S} -measurable, $\bigcap_{k=1}^\infty E_k \in \mathcal{M}$, and \mathcal{M} is closed under countable decreasing intersections.

Finally, monotone class theorem \Rightarrow the monotone class \mathcal{M} containing \mathcal{A} contains the smallest σ -algebra containing \mathcal{A} ; i.e. $\mathcal{M} \supseteq \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T}$.

(b) If ν is a σ -finite, $\exists \{Y_k \in \mathcal{T}\}_{k=1}^\infty : \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty Y_k = Y \wedge \nu_{Y_{\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}}} < \infty$. Replacing each Y_k by $Y_1 \cup \dots \cup Y_k$, one can assume that $Y_1 \subseteq Y_2 \subseteq \dots$. $\forall E \in \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T}$, $\nu_{[E]_x} \xleftarrow{\infty \leftarrow k} \nu_{[E \cap (X \times Y_k)]_x}$, with $x \mapsto \nu_{[E \cap (X \times Y_k)]_x}$ \mathcal{S} -measurable on X (by step (a), with ν considered finite when restricted to the σ -algebra on Y_k consisting of \mathcal{T} -measurable sets $E \subseteq Y_k$). Hence $x \mapsto \nu_{[E]_x}$ is \mathcal{S} -measurable on X .

2. Clearly $(\mu \times \nu)_\emptyset = 0$, and $\mu \times \nu$ is the countably additive as $(\mu \times \nu)_{\bigcup_{k=1}^\infty (E_k \in \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T})}$
 $= \int_X \left(\nu_{[\bigcup_{k=1}^\infty E_k]_x} = \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty \nu_{[E_k]_x} \right) d\mu_x \xrightarrow{\text{monotone convergence theorem}} \sum_{k=1}^\infty \int_X \nu_{[E_k]_x} d\mu_x$
 $= \sum_{k=1}^\infty (\mu \times \nu)_{E_k} \quad \square$

E.g. $(\mu \times \nu)_{A \times B} = \mu_A \nu_B \quad \forall (A, B) \in \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{T}$

4.2 Iterated integrals

Theorem (TONELLI'S) $\int_{X \times Y} f d(\mu \times \nu) = \int_X \int_Y \underbrace{f_{x,y}}_{\mathcal{S}\text{-measurable on } X} d\nu_y d\mu_x = \int_Y \int_X \underbrace{f_{x,y}}_{\mathcal{T}\text{-measurable on } Y} d\mu_x d\nu_y \quad \forall \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T}$ -

measurable $X \times Y \xrightarrow{f} \overline{\mathbb{R}}_{\geq 0}$ on σ -finite measure spaces (X, \mathcal{S}, μ) & (Y, \mathcal{T}, ν) ■

E.g. Consider $\mathbb{Z}_{>0} \times \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \xrightarrow{x:(j,k) \rightarrow x_{j,k}} \overline{\mathbb{R}}_{\geq 0}$ and σ -finite counting measure spaces $(\mathbb{Z}_{>0}, 2^{\mathbb{Z}_{>0}}, \mu)$, then $\int_{\mathbb{Z}_{>0} \times \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} x d(\mu \times \mu) = \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \right) x_{j,k}$.

Theorem (FUBINI'S) $\int_{X \times Y} f d(\mu \times \nu) = \int_X \int_Y \underbrace{f_{x,y}}_{\mathcal{T}\text{-measurable on } Y} d\nu_y d\mu_x = \int_Y \int_X \underbrace{f_{x,y}}_{\mathcal{S}\text{-measurable on } X} d\mu_x d\nu_y \quad \forall \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T}$ -

measurable $X \times Y \xrightarrow{f} \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ on σ -finite measure spaces (X, \mathcal{S}, μ) & (Y, \mathcal{T}, ν) :

$\int_{X \times Y} |f| d(\mu \times \nu) < \infty$ (and thus $\int_Y |f_{y,x \in X,y}| d\nu_y < \infty > \int_X |f_{x,y \in Y}| d\mu_x$) ■

4.5 $U_f := \{(x, t) \in X \times \mathbb{R}_{>0} \mid 0 < t < f_x\}$ is the **region under the graph** of $X \xrightarrow{f} \overline{\mathbb{R}}_{\geq 0}$.

^[xvii] Recall that pointwise limit of \mathcal{S} -measurable functions is \mathcal{S} -measurable

Then measurable f on σ -finite measure space $(X, \mathcal{S}, \mu) \Rightarrow U_f \in \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{B}$

$$\wedge (\mu \times \dot{\mu})_{U_f} = \int_X f d\mu = \int_{\mathbb{R}_{>0}} \mu_{\{x \in X \mid t < f_x\}} d\dot{\mu}_t \quad \forall \text{ Lebesgue's measure space } (\mathbb{R}_{>0}, \mathcal{B}, \dot{\mu}) \quad \blacksquare$$

4.3 LEBESGUE'S integrals on \mathbb{R}^n

4.6 $\times_{k=1}^2 G_k \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{\sum_{k=1}^2 n_k}$ is open \forall open $G_{k=1,2} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n_k}$ ■

4.8 Borel's $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is an element of the smallest σ -algebra on \mathbb{R}^n containing all open $G \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$; denote the σ -algebra of all Borel's $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ by \mathcal{B}_n ●

4.7 \bullet $G \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is open $\iff G = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} C_k$ with $C_{\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}}$ open cubes $\subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$.

\bullet \mathcal{B}_n is the smallest σ -algebra on \mathbb{R}^n containing all open cubes $\subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ ■

4.8 $\mathcal{B}_{\sum_{k=1}^2 n_k} = \otimes_{k=1}^2 \mathcal{B}_{n_k}$ ■

4.9 Define inductively Lebesgue's measure $\dot{\mu}_n = \dot{\mu}_{n-1} \times \dot{\mu}_1$ on measurable spaces $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}_n)$ with $\dot{\mu}_1$ Lebesgue's measure on $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_1)$ ●

4.9 $\forall E \in \mathcal{B}_n \forall t \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}, tE \in \mathcal{B}_n \wedge \dot{\mu}_{n;t} E = t^n \dot{\mu}_{n;E}$ ■

4.10 $D_1(D_2 f) = D_2(D_1 f) \forall G \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{R} : \exists$ continuous $D_1 f$ & $D_2 f$ & $D_1(D_2 f)$ & $D_2(D_1 f)$

on the open $G \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$, where the **partial derivates** $(D_1 f)_{x,y} := \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{(f_{x+t,y} - f_{x,y})}{t}$ &

$(D_2 f)_{x,y} := \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{(f_{x,y+t} - f_{x,y})}{t} \forall (x,y) \in G$ etc. ■

A Riemann's integration

A.1 Riemann integral

A.1 A *partition* of $[a, b] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is a finite list $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^n$ with $a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = b$ ●
Remark. Use the partition to think of $[a, b] = \bigcup_{i=1}^n [x_{i-1}, x_i]$.

A.2 $\inf_A f = \inf_{f_A}$ & $\sup_A f = \sup_{f_A} \forall A \subseteq \text{domain of a real-valued map } f$ ●

A.3 \forall bounded map $[a, b] \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{R} \forall$ partition $P = \{x_i\}_{i=0}^n$ of $[a, b]$, **Riemann's lower & upper sums** are

$$L_{f,P,[a,b]} = \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - x_{i-1}) \inf_{[x_{i-1}, x_i]} f \quad \& \quad U_{f,P,[a,b]} = \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - x_{i-1}) \sup_{[x_{i-1}, x_i]} f \quad \blacksquare$$

Remark. RIEMANN'S sums approximate the signed area under f 's graph.

A.1 \forall bounded map $[a, b] \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{R} \forall$ partitions P, P' of $[a, b]$ with the list defining P a subset of the list defining P' , $L_{f,P,[a,b]} < L_{f,P',[a,b]} < U_{f,P',[a,b]} < U_{f,P,[a,b]}$ ■

A.2 \forall bounded map $[a, b] \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{R} \forall$ partitions P, P' of $[a, b]$, $L_{f,P,[a,b]} \leq U_{f,P',[a,b]}$ ■

A.4 \forall bounded map $[a, b] \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{R}$, **Riemann's lower & upper integrals** are

$$L_{f,[a,b]} := \sup_P L_{f,P,[a,b]} \quad \& \quad U_{f,[a,b]} := \inf_P U_{f,P,[a,b]} \quad \blacksquare$$

A.3 \forall bounded map $[a, b] \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{R}$, $L_{f,[a,b]} \leq U_{f,[a,b]}$ ■

A.5 A bounded map on a closed bounded interval is **Riemann integrable** if its lower and upper Riemann integrals are equal. *E.g.* **Riemann's integral** $\int_a^b f = L_{f,[a,b]} = U_{f,[a,b]}$ of a Riemann integrable map $[a, b] \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{R}$ ●

Example A.1 $\forall [0, 1] \xrightarrow{f: x \rightarrow x^2} \mathbb{R} \forall P_n = \{i/n\}_{i=0}^n$,

$$L_{f,P_n,[0,1]} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{i-1}{n} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{2n} + \frac{1}{6n^2},$$

$$U_{f,P_n,[0,1]} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{i}{n} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{2n} + \frac{1}{6n^2};$$

$$U_{f,[0,1]} \leq \inf_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} U_{f,P_n,[0,1]} = \boxed{\int_0^1 f = \frac{1}{3}} = \inf_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} L_{f,P_n,[0,1]} \leq L_{f,[0,1]}.$$

A.4 Every continuous real-valued map on a closed bounded interval (and thus the map is uniformly continuous) is Riemann integrable ■

A.5 \forall Riemann integrable map $[a, b] \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{R}$,

$$(b-a) \inf_{[a,b]} f \leq \int_a^b f \leq (b-a) \sup_{[a,b]} f \quad \blacksquare$$

A.2 RIEMANN'S integral is not good enough

Riemann's integration does not

- handle maps with many discontinuities or maps unbounded
- work well with limits

Example A.2 $f_{x \in [0,1]} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{Q} \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q} \end{cases}$ has many discontinuities, and

$$\inf_{[a,b]} f = 0 \neq 1 = \sup_{[a,b]} f \leftarrow \forall [a,b] \subseteq [0,1] \exists r \in (\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q})^{\in [a,b]} \wedge \exists q \in \mathbb{Q}^{\in [a,b]}.$$

Thus $L_{f,P,[0,1]} = 0 \neq 1 = U_{f,P,[0,1]} \forall$ partition P of $[0, 1]$, $L_{f,[0,1]} = 0 \neq 1 = U_{f,[0,1]}$, and $[0, 1] \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{R}$ not RIEMANN integrable.

Example A.3 $f_x = \begin{cases} 1/\sqrt{x} & \text{if } x \in (0, 1] \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0 \end{cases}$ is unbounded, and $\sup_{f_{[x_0, x_1]}} = \infty \forall$ partition

$P = \{x_i\}_{i=0}^n \Rightarrow U_{f,P,[0,1]} = \infty$ by definition. However, we may redefine $\int_0^1 f$ as $\lim_{a \downarrow 0} \int_a^1 f$, for the area under f 's graph is $\lim_{a \downarrow 0} \left(\int_a^1 f = 2 - 2\sqrt{a} \right) = 2$.

Example A.4 Given a sequence r_1, r_2, \dots that includes each $q \in \mathbb{Q}_{\in [0,1]}$ exactly once but no other numbers, and $f_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}, x \in [0,1]} = \begin{cases} 1/\sqrt{x-r_k} & \text{if } x > r_k \\ 0 & \text{if } x \leq r_k \end{cases}$ then

$f_x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_{k;x}/2^k$ is unbounded on every non-empty open subinterval $I \subseteq [0, 1]$ because $I \ni q \in \mathbb{Q}$, and f 's RIEMANN integral is thus undefined on I , although the area (< 2) under f 's graph seems reasonable.

Example A.5 RIEMANN'S integration does not work well with pointwise limits. E.g. given a sequence r_1, r_2, \dots that includes each $q \in \mathbb{Q}_{\in [0,1]}$ exactly once but no

other numbers, then each $f_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}, x \in [0,1]} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in \{r_i\}_{i=1}^k \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ is RIEMANN integrable

and $\int_0^1 f_k = 0$. However, $f_x = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_{k;x} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{Q} \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q} \end{cases}$ is not RIEMANN integrable (cf. example A.2).

A.6 \forall sequence f_1, f_2, \dots of Riemann integrable maps on $[a, b]$ with $|f_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}, x \in [a,b]}| \leq M \in \mathbb{R}$, $\int_a^b f = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b f_k$ if

1. $\forall x \in [a, b] \exists f_x = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_{k,x}$

2. f is Riemann integrable on $[a, b]$ ■

Remark. The undesirable hypothesis 2 and the difficulty in finding a simple RIEMANN-integration-based proof suggest that RIEMANN'S integration is not the ideal integration theory.

B Complete ordered fields

B.1 A *field* is a set \mathbb{F} with two binary operations symbolised as addition and multiplication: $\forall a \ \& \ b \ \& \ c \in \mathbb{F}$

Commutativity $a + b = b + a \ \wedge \ ab = ba$

Associativity $(a + b) + c = a + (b + c) \ \wedge \ (ab)c = a(bc)$

Multiplicative distributivity over addition $a(b + c) = ab + ac$

Additive identity $\exists! \mathbf{0}_{\mathbb{F}} \in \mathbb{F} : a + \mathbf{0} = a$

Multiplicative identity $\exists! \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{F}} \in \mathbb{F} : a\mathbf{1} = a$

Additive inverse $\exists! -a \in \mathbb{F} : a + (-a) = \mathbf{0}$

Multiplicative inverse $\exists! a^{-1} \in \mathbb{F} : aa^{-1} = \mathbf{1}$ ●

Remark 2. $-(-a = -\mathbf{1} \cdot a) = a \stackrel{\neq \mathbf{0}}{=} (a^{-1})^{-1} \forall a \in \mathbb{F}.$

E.g. The set \mathbb{Q} of rationals under usual addition and multiplication.

E.g. The set $\{0, 1\}$ under usual addition and multiplication except that $1 + 1 := 0$.

B.1 $a\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0} \forall a \in \text{field } \mathbb{F}$ ■

B.2 $\forall a, b \in \text{field } \mathbb{F}$, their

Difference $a - b := a + (-b)$

Quotient $a/b := ab^{-1}$ for $b \neq \mathbf{0}$ ●

B.3 A field \mathbb{F} is **ordered** if \exists **positive** $P \subset \mathbb{F}$:

• $a \in \mathbb{F} \Rightarrow a \in P \vee a = \mathbf{0} \vee -a \in P$

• $a \& b \in P \Rightarrow a + b \in P \wedge ab \in P$ ●

B.2 A positive $P \subset$ ordered field \mathbb{F} is closed under multiplicative inverse; i.e. $a^{-1} \in P \forall a \in P$, with $\mathbf{1} \in P$ ■

B.4 $\forall a \& b \in$ ordered field $\mathbb{F} \supset$ positive P

• $a < b \iff b - a \in P \iff b > a$

• $a \leq b \iff a < b \vee a = b \iff b \geq a$ ●

Remark 3. $\mathbf{0} < b$ iff $b \in P$.

B.3 The ordering $<$ on an ordered field \mathbb{F} is **transitive**; i.e. $a < b < c \xrightarrow{\forall a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}} a < c$ ■

B.5 The **absolute value** $|b| := \begin{cases} b & \text{if } b \geq \mathbf{0} \\ -b & \text{if } b < \mathbf{0} \end{cases}$ of $b \in$ ordered field \mathbb{F} ●

Remark 4. $|b| \geq b, -b$.

B.4 $|a + b| \leq |a| + |b| \forall a \& b \in$ ordered field \mathbb{F} ■

B.5 Every ordered field $\mathbb{F} \supseteq \mathbb{Q}$; i.e. \exists injection^[xviii] $\mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathbb{F}$, such that

$$\varphi_{\pm m/n} := \frac{(\pm \mathbf{1} \pm \dots \pm \mathbf{1})}{m \text{ times}} (\mathbf{1} + \dots + \mathbf{1})^{-1} \stackrel{m=0}{=} \mathbf{0} =: \varphi_0$$

$\forall m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} := \{z \in \mathbb{Z} | z \geq 0\} \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, preserving all ordered field properties.^[xix] ■

B.6 $q^2 = 2 \Rightarrow q \notin \mathbb{Q}$ ■

B.6 $b \in$ ordered field \mathbb{F} is an **upper bound** of $A \subseteq \mathbb{F}$ if $a \leq b \in \mathbb{F} \forall a \in A$ ●

E.g. For both $\mathbb{Q}_{\leq 3}$ and $\mathbb{Q}_{< 3}$, every $b \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 3}$ is an upper bound, and 3 is the **least** upper bound.

Remark 5. A least upper bound of a set, if it exists, is unique.

Example B.1 $\mathbb{Q}_{< \sqrt{2}} = \{q \in \mathbb{Q} | q^2 < 2\}$ has no least upper bound $b \in \mathbb{Q}$. The idea is that

• $b \in \mathbb{Q}_{< \sqrt{2}} \Rightarrow \exists b' (= \lceil b + \frac{(2-b^2)}{5} \rceil \text{ for example}) \in \mathbb{Q}_{< \sqrt{2}}$ slightly bigger than b

• $b \in \mathbb{Q}_{> \sqrt{2}} \Rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_{< \sqrt{2}}$ has an upper bound ($\lfloor b - \frac{(b^2-2)}{2b} \rfloor$ for example) slightly smaller than b

[xviii] i.e. $\varphi_{m/n} = \varphi_{p/q} \iff \frac{\forall m, n, p, q \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}}{m/n = p/q}$

[xix] $\forall z, \forall a \& b \in \mathbb{Q}, \varphi_{a+b} = \varphi_a + \varphi_b, \varphi_{ab} = \varphi_a \varphi_b, \varphi_a > 0 \iff a > 0$ etc. (with $a \neq 0$ for the multiplicative inverse condition)

- So $b = \sqrt{2} \notin \mathbb{Q}$.

B.7 An ordered field is **complete** if every its non-empty subset **bounded above** has a least upper bound; denote the field by \mathbb{R} and call it the field of **real numbers** ●

B.8 \tilde{r} is **Dedekind's cut** if

- $\emptyset \subset \tilde{r} \subset \mathbb{Q}$
- $q \in \mathbb{Q}_{< r \in \tilde{r}} \Rightarrow q \in \tilde{r}$
- \tilde{r} has no largest element

Denote the set of all Dedekind's cuts by $\tilde{\mathbb{R}}$ ●

Remark 6. Intuitively, $\tilde{r} = \mathbb{Q}_{< r} \approx r \in \mathbb{R} \approx \tilde{\mathbb{R}}$.

B.9 $S \setminus A := \{s \in S \mid s \notin A\}$ is the **set difference** from A to S . If $A \subseteq S$, then $S \setminus A$ is A 's **complement** in S ●

B.10 *Make $\tilde{\mathbb{R}}$ a field* $\forall \tilde{r}_{i=1,2} \in \tilde{\mathbb{R}}, \tilde{\mathbb{R}} \ni$

- $\sum_{i=1,2} \tilde{r}_i := \{\sum_{i=1,2} r_i \mid r_{j=1,2} \in \tilde{r}_j\}$
- $\tilde{o} := \mathbb{Q}_{< 0}$
- $-\tilde{r} := \{r \in \mathbb{Q} \mid (\mathbb{Q} \setminus \tilde{r})^{<-r} \neq \emptyset\}$

$$\cdot \prod_{i=1}^2 \tilde{r}_i := \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^2 r_i \mid r_{j=1,2} \in \tilde{r}_j^+ \right\} \cup \mathbb{Q}_{\leq 0} & \text{if } \tilde{r}_{j=1,2}^+ \neq \emptyset \\ \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^2 r_i \mid r_j \in \tilde{r}_j, r_{3-j} \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \tilde{r}_{3-j} \right\} & \text{if } \tilde{r}_{j \in \{1,2\}}^+ = \emptyset \neq \tilde{r}_{3-j}^+ \\ \{q \in \mathbb{Q} \mid \exists r_{i=1,2} \in \tilde{r}_i^- : q < \prod_{i=1}^2 r_i\} & \text{if } \tilde{r}_{j=1,2}^+ = \emptyset \end{array} \right\} \quad \text{with}$$

$$\tilde{r}^+ := \tilde{r}^{>0[\text{xx}]}$$

$$\text{and } \tilde{r}^- := (\mathbb{Q} \setminus \tilde{r})^{\leq 0}$$

- $\tilde{1} := \mathbb{Q}_{< 1}$
- $\tilde{r}^{-1} := \{r \in \mathbb{Q} \mid (\mathbb{Q} \setminus \tilde{r})^{<-r^{-1}} \neq \emptyset\}$

Make field $\tilde{\mathbb{R}}$ ordered define $\tilde{r} \in \tilde{\mathbb{R}}$ to be **positive** if $\exists b \in \tilde{r} : b > \tilde{o}$ ●

B.7 The ordered field $\tilde{\mathbb{R}}$ is complete; i.e. $\emptyset \subset \tilde{R} \subset \tilde{\mathbb{R}} \wedge \tilde{R}$ bounded above $\Rightarrow \tilde{R}$ has a least upper bound $\bigcup_{\tilde{r} \in \tilde{R}} \tilde{r}$ ■

C Supremum & infimum

Property (Archimedian) $\forall r \in \mathbb{R} \exists z \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} : r < z$. I.e. $\forall r \in \mathbb{R}^{>0} \exists z \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} : z^{-1} < r$ ■

C.1 $\forall a \in \mathbb{R}^{< b \in \mathbb{R}} \exists q \in \mathbb{Q}_{(a,b)}$ ■

C.1 $b \in \mathbb{R}$ is a **lower bound** of $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ if $b \leq a \forall a \in A$ ●

E.g. For both $\mathbb{R}^{>3}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{\geq 3}$, every $b \in \mathbb{R}^{\leq 3}$ is a lower bound, and 3 is the **greatest** lower bound.

Remark 7. A greatest lower bound of $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, if it exists, is unique.

C.2 Every non-empty $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ **bounded below** has a greatest lower bound ■

C.2 $\forall A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, its **supremum** & **infimum** are respectively

$$\sup_A := \begin{cases} A\text{'s least upper bound} & \text{if } A \text{ bounded above } \wedge A \neq \emptyset \\ \infty & \text{if } A \text{ has no upper bound} \\ -\infty & \text{if } A = \emptyset \end{cases}$$

[xx] Think of the condition $\tilde{r}^+ \neq \emptyset$ as equivalent to $\tilde{r} > \tilde{o}$

[xxi] $\tilde{r}_1 \subset \tilde{r}_2 \iff \tilde{r}_1 < \tilde{r}_2 \xrightarrow{\text{definition}} (\tilde{r}_2 - \tilde{r}_1)$ positive

$$\& \inf_A := \begin{cases} A\text{'s greatest lower bound} & \text{if } A \text{ bounded below } \wedge A \neq \emptyset \\ -\infty & \text{if } A \text{ has no lower bound} \\ \infty & \text{if } A = \emptyset \end{cases}$$

C.3 $r \in \mathbb{R}$ is **irrational** if $r \notin \mathbb{Q}$; i.e. $r \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$

C.3 $\exists r \in \mathbb{R}^{>0} : r^2 = 2$. I.e. $\exists r = \sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$

C.4 $\forall a \in \mathbb{R}^{<b \in \mathbb{R}} \exists r \in (\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q})^{\in(a,b)}$

C.4 $(-\infty, \infty) := \mathbb{R}$, with

• the ordering $>$ on \mathbb{R} extended to $[-\infty, \infty] := \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$ as

– $a < \infty \forall a \in [-\infty, \infty) := \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$

– $-\infty < a \forall a \in (-\infty, \infty] := \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$

• $\forall a, b \in [-\infty, \infty]$

– $a < b \iff b > a$

– $a \leq b \iff a < b \vee a = b \iff b \geq a$

C.5 $I \in [-\infty, \infty]$ is an **interval** if $(a, b) \subseteq I \forall a, b \in I$

C.5 \forall interval $I \in [-\infty, \infty] \exists a \& b \in [-\infty, \infty] : (a, b) \subseteq I \subseteq [a, b]$. So $I = (a, b) \vee [a, b] \vee (a, b] \vee [a, b)$

D Open & closed subsets of \mathbb{R}^n

D.1 $\mathbb{R}^n := \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \equiv (x_i)_{i=1}^n \mid x_{j=1, \dots, n} \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is the set of all ordered n -tuples of real numbers

D.2 $\forall x = (x_i)_{i=1}^n \in \mathbb{R}^n, \|x\| := \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^2}, \|x\|_\infty := \max\{|x_i|\}_{i=1}^n$

D.3 A sequence $a_1, a_2, \dots \in \mathbb{R}^n$ **converges** to a **limit** $L = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_k$ if $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} : \|a_{\forall k \geq m} - L\|_\infty < \epsilon$

Remark 8. $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_k = L \xleftrightarrow{\text{definition D.3}} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|a_k - L\|_\infty = 0$

$$\frac{\|x\|_\infty \leq \|x\| \leq \sqrt{n} \|x\|_\infty \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n}{\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|a_k - L\|_\infty}$$

D.1 A convergent sequence $a_1, a_2, \dots \in \mathbb{R}^n$ converges coordinate-wise; i.e. $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (a_k = (a_{k,j})_{j=1}^n) = L = (L_j)_{j=1}^n$ iff $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_{k, \forall j \in \{1, \dots, n\}} = L_j$

D.4 $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n \forall \delta > 0$, the **open cube** $B_{x, \delta} := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \|y - x\|_\infty < \delta\}$

D.5 An **open interval** $I = (a, b) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ for some $a, b \in [-\infty, \infty]$

D.6 $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is

Open if $B_{\forall x \in X, \exists \delta > 0} \subseteq X$

Closed if its complement in \mathbb{R}^n is open

Remark 9. Instead of open cubes, open sets could have been equivalently defined using open balls $\{y \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \|y - x\| < \delta\} \subseteq B_{x, \delta} \subseteq \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \|y - x\| < \sqrt{n} \delta\}$.

D.7 \forall collection \mathcal{A} of a set S 's subsets, the **union** $\bigcup_{E \in \mathcal{A}} E := \{x \in S \mid \exists E \in \mathcal{A} : x \in E\}$ and the **intersection** $\bigcap_{E \in \mathcal{A}} E := \{x \in S \mid x \in E \forall E \in \mathcal{A}\}$

E.g. $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} [1/k, 1 - 1/k] = (0, 1), \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1/k, 1/k) = \{0\}$.

D.2 The union of every collection of open subsets of \mathbb{R}^n is open in \mathbb{R}^n ; so as the intersection of every finite collection of open subsets of \mathbb{R}^n

D.8 A set C is **countable** if $C = \emptyset \vee C = \{c_1, c_2, \dots\}$ for some sequence c_1, c_2, \dots of elements of C

Remark. Every finite set is countable. If C is infinite countable, then it can be written as $\{b_1, b_2, \dots\}$ of distinct elements.

D.3 \mathbb{Q} is countable ■

Proof. Start with the list $\{-1, 0, 1\}$ at step 1, adjoin to the list in increasing order the rationals $\in [-n, n]$ that can be written in the form m/n for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ at step n , and continue in this fashion to produce a sequence containing each rational □

D.9 A sequence E_1, E_2, \dots of sets is **disjoint** if $E_{j \neq k} \cap E_k = \emptyset$ ●

D.4 $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ open iff A the countable disjoint union of open intervals ■

D.5 $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ closed iff $A \ni$ limit of every convergent sequence of elements of A ■

Laws (DE MORGAN'S) \forall collection \mathcal{A} of subsets of some set X , $X \setminus \bigcup_{E \in \mathcal{A}} E = \bigcap_{E \in \mathcal{A}} (X \setminus E)$, $X \setminus \bigcap_{E \in \mathcal{A}} E = \bigcup_{E \in \mathcal{A}} (X \setminus E)$ ■

D.6 The intersection of every collection of closed subsets of \mathbb{R}^n is closed in \mathbb{R}^n ; so as the union of every finite collection of closed subsets of \mathbb{R}^n ■

D.7 The only subsets of \mathbb{R}^n that are both open and closed are \emptyset and \mathbb{R}^n ■

E Sequences & continuity

E.1 A sequence $a_1, a_2, \dots \in \mathbb{R}$ is

Increasing if $a_{\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \leq a_{k+1}$

Decreasing if $a_{\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \geq a_{k+1}$

Monotone if it is either increasing or decreasing ●

E.2 $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is **bounded** if $\sup \{\|a\|_\infty\}_{a \in A} < \infty$

• A map into \mathbb{R}^n is **bounded** if its range is a bounded subset of \mathbb{R}^n . Particularly, a sequence $a_1, a_2, \dots \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is bounded if $\sup \{\|a_k\|_\infty\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} < \infty$ ●

E.1 Every bounded monotone sequence of real numbers converges ■

E.3 a_{k_1}, a_{k_2}, \dots , with $k_{i=1,2,\dots} \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $k_1 < k_2 < \dots$, is a **subsequence** of a sequence a_1, a_2, \dots ●

E.2 Every sequence of real numbers has a monotone subsequence ■

E.3 (**BOLZANO-WEIERSTRASS'S**) Every bounded sequence in \mathbb{R}^n has a convergent subsequence ■

E.4 Every sequence of elements of a closed bounded $F \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ has a subsequence that converges to an element of F ■

E.4 $A \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{R}^n \forall A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ is **continuous**

At $b \in A$ if $\forall \epsilon > 0 \forall a \in A \exists \delta > 0 : \|a - b\|_\infty < \delta \Rightarrow \|f_a - f_b\|_\infty < \epsilon$

On A if it is continuous at every $b \in A$ ●

E.5 $A \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{R}^n \forall A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ is continuous at $b \in A$ iff $f_{b_k} \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} f_b \forall$ sequence $b_{k=1,2,\dots} \in A$ that converges at b ■

E.5 $A \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{R}^n \forall A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ is **uniformly continuous** if $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0 \forall a, b \in A : \|a - b\|_\infty < \delta \Rightarrow \|f_a - f_b\|_\infty < \epsilon$ ●

Example E.1 $\mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{f: x \rightarrow x^2} \mathbb{R}$ is continuous but not uniformly continuous.

E.6 Every continuous \mathbb{R}^n -valued map on a closed bounded subset of \mathbb{R}^m is uniformly continuous ■

E.7 Every continuous real-valued map of a closed bounded subset of \mathbb{R}^m attains its maximum and minimum ■

E.6 $f: S \rightarrow T$ between sets S and T , $f_X := \{f_x\}_{x \in X}$ is the **image** of $X \subseteq S$ under f ●

E.8 A continuous $f: F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ of a closed bounded $F \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ is a closed bounded subset of \mathbb{R}^n ■